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Executive Summary

Ohio Attorney General Richard Cordray tasked the Trafficking in Persons Research and Analysis Sub-Committee to build a better understanding of the scope of the problem of human trafficking in Ohio.

To achieve this goal, the sub-committee decided on the need for a short term effort to gather relevant data expeditiously and also for a longer, more comprehensive study. This report provides the findings from the short term study.

Law enforcement data and newspaper reports make clear that both sex trafficking and labor trafficking exist in Ohio. It is also clear that victims are native born as well as from foreign nations.

This report provides an overview of existing research and for the first time offers estimates of the number of individuals who are being trafficked as well as the number who are at risk of falling victim to this type of exploitation in Ohio.

By analyzing databases, documents, government and non-government reports and studies, the sub-committee’s research team developed a new model designed to provide estimates of those foreign born populations that are at-risk for human trafficking and those that are trafficked in Ohio. The model identified and quantified those “pull” factors that would draw traffickers and victims to Ohio. Pull factors included the presence of existing markets for human trafficking, the demand for sexual and labor services in Ohio and nearby states, and the presence of sizable populations of foreign born persons in Ohio. The research team also included and quantified the government’s Tier Ratings regarding the level of compliance of various countries with the Trafficking Victims Protection Act.

Our model allows us to estimate that there are 3,437 foreign born persons in Ohio who may be at-risk for both labor and/or sex trafficking, 783 of which are estimated to be trafficked into the labor or sex trade in Ohio.

To identify the number of American youth, ages 12 to 17, who are at-risk for child sex trafficking and have become victims in the sex trade, the research team identified risk factors that included the number of runaways, throwaways, homeless youth, and those at high risk because of other vulnerability factors.

Applying the methodology developed in other studies, the team estimated that of those American born youth in Ohio, 2,879 are at-risk for sex trafficking, and another 1,078 youth have been trafficked into the sex trade over the course of a year.

Due to limitations in the data that are currently available, the team was unable to provide estimates of the number of American born persons who may be trafficked into the labor trade and also could not sufficiently identify the number of adult women who may be trafficked into the sex trade.
We identify four factors that may increase the risk to youth in Ohio. They are (1) Ohio’s weak response to trafficking victims, (2) evidence that first responders to human trafficking in Ohio are unaware and unprepared, (3) customers who purchase youth remain protected, receiving minimal charges and rarely being prosecuted in Ohio in any significant way, while traffickers suffer minimal consequences, and (4) high rates of vulnerable youth in Ohio. We ask that the other Trafficking In Persons Study Commission Sub-Committees consider these points as part of their deliberations. We also recommend the need for better data collection.

The report is divided into six sections. Section I discusses the committee’s purpose, goals, objectives, and strategies. Section II focuses on understanding human trafficking in Ohio. Section III provides national estimates and a discussion of the barriers to estimating trafficking victims in the United States. Section IV provides a snapshot of identified cases in Ohio. In Section V we provide estimates of the number of human trafficking victims in Ohio. Finally, in Section VI we provide recommendations and points for further deliberation to the Trafficking in Persons Study Commission.

The Research and Analysis Sub-Committee plans to continue working and develop a longer term study that would allow an even more detailed understanding of the victims of human trafficking in Ohio.

Questions about this report should be directed to Celia Williamson, Ph.D., University of Toledo, Chair of the Research Sub-Committee at celia.williamson@utoledo.edu.
Section I
Research Sub-Committee’s Purpose, Goals, Objectives and Strategy
Purpose of the Committee

Ohio Attorney General Richard Cordray directed the Trafficking in Persons Research and Analysis Sub-Committee to work to build a better understanding of the scope of the problem of human trafficking in Ohio.

Committee Goals

The goals of the committee were to:
1. Obtain a better understanding of human trafficking in the U.S. and Ohio
2. Identify the number of victims in Ohio
3. Identify who they are
4. Identify their experiences

Committee Objectives

The committee chose to address its goals and objectives by developing two plans: Plan A would involve gathering relevant data expeditiously so that the commission and its other sub-committees would be able to move forward understanding the scope of the problem in Ohio.

Plan B would be a longer and more involved research study that, with adequate funding and resources, would be completed within a reasonable time frame. The University of Toledo was successful in applying for federal funding. The project is set to begin sometime in 2010.

This report covers the methodology and findings for Plan A.

Committee Strategy

The committee members, with the help of a research team, worked over a four month period to accomplish our goal by reviewing existing studies and literature on the issue, government and non-government reports, and speaking with researchers and practitioners in the field.

The committee and research team agrees that, due to the very nature of human trafficking, it is virtually impossible to determine the exact number of victims in Ohio at any given time and with any degree of certainty. It is possible to discuss identified cases in Ohio and to provide assumptions and estimations of suspected cases of human trafficking in the state over the past five years. As such, we identified cases of human trafficking in Ohio and provide an estimate of the prevalence as well as the number of persons at-risk in Ohio.

In identifying cases of human trafficking the research team collected newspaper articles from the eight most prominent newspapers in Ohio that identified human trafficking cases within the past five years. Those papers included the Akron-Beacon Journal
(Akron), The Cincinnati Enquirer (Cincinnati), The Plain Dealer (Cleveland), The Columbus Dispatch (Columbus), Dayton Daily News (Dayton), The Toledo Blade (Toledo), Tribune Chronicle (Warren), and The Vindicator (Youngstown). In addition to the newspaper articles, we gathered data from the Innocence Lost Initiative on human trafficking in Ohio, Immigrations Customs Enforcement, and the experience of those in Ohio who have worked with victims.

In order to identify the prevalence of human trafficking in Ohio, the research team analyzed articles identified by the following key words: sweatshop, labor trafficking, minor and prostitution, prostitution, brothel, and massage parlor. The team also engaged in a literature search and investigation of government and non-governmental reports and models used to ascertain prevalence of human trafficking in individual states and across the U.S.

Lastly, the committee wanted to provide the commission with the number of persons “at-risk” of becoming victims of human trafficking in Ohio. To identify those at-risk the research team identified models used in other states or across the U.S. We identified those high risk groups and at-risk groups to include in our count. We relied heavily on the Estes and Weiner (2001) study to develop domestic trafficking estimations, and used the Clawson, Layne, & Small (2006) study as a framework of how to create estimations of human trafficking among foreign born populations.
Section II
The Context for Human Trafficking in Ohio
Human Trafficking Defined

Human Trafficking is the second largest illegal enterprise in the world, followed by illegal drug sales (Polaris Project). The International Labor Organization, an agency of the United Nations (2005), estimates that 12.3 million people around the world are involved in forced labor, bonded labor, forced child labor and sexual servitude.

The U.S. has played a significant role as a consumer of sex trafficking and is currently the world’s second largest destination country (following Germany) for women and children trafficked into the sex industry (Mizus, Moody, Privado, & Douglas, 2003).

In a literature review of eight human trafficking reports, Logan (2009) outlined various types of human trafficking in the United States. She reported that sex trafficking encompassed prostitution or other forms of commercial sexual exploitation including exotic dancing, pornography, sexual entertainment, sexual servitude, and servile marriage, and labor trafficking encompassed factory labor or work in sweatshops, restaurant labor, and agricultural work. Other forms anecdotally mentioned in these reports included begging or trinket selling, food industry, hotel work, nail salon workers, landscape and gardening laborers, casino servers, and magazine peddlers (Logan, 2009). More recently, some report the existence of labor trafficking in salons and the use of victims as hair braiders.

In 2000, the Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) was passed by Congress to address both the domestic and international victims of labor and sex trafficking on U.S. soil. The purpose of the new law was to enhance the government’s capacity to provide protection, prevention, and prosecution involving the crime of human trafficking.

Human trafficking is defined by the TVPA as (a) recruiting, harboring, transporting, supplying, or obtaining a person for labor or services through the use of force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of involuntary servitude or slavery; or (b) sex trafficking in which a commercial sex act is induced by force, fraud, or coercion, or in which the person induced to perform sex acts is under 18 years of age.

The term “commercial sex act” has been defined as any sex act where anything of value is given to or received by any person. As interpreted by the government, this means that a profiteer “pimp” or purchaser “john” or anyone else that receives something in exchange for sex or who “harbored”, provided “transportation” or “provision” may be subject to federal trafficking charges.

Under the TVPA foreign nationals trafficked into the U.S. for the purposes of sex or labor, are viewed and treated as victims who are provided government support instead of criminals to be arrested and deported. This is still not always the case in Ohio (personal communication with Ohio practitioners).
"Many foreign trafficking victims are ‘stateless’, meaning they, under international law, are not citizens of any country. Although the Universal Declaration of Human Rights recognizes that everyone has the right to a nationality, an estimated 12 million people around the world are legally or de facto stateless today. This lack of legal standing in any nation, leads stateless people often turn to human smugglers and traffickers to help them escape discrimination or government persecution. They become victims again and again as the problems of statelessness, refugee issues, and trafficking intersect” (U.S. Department of State, 2009 p.31).

For international victims, it is important to note there is a difference between smuggling and trafficking. In essence, smuggling is a crime against the state, meaning the person is knowingly and willingly attempting to enter the country illegally. Human trafficking is a crime against a person, where the person is being brought into the country by force, fraud, or coercion (Davis, 2009 Polaris Project - personal communication).

Forty-two U.S. states have passed their own legislation against human trafficking. In a study by Bouche and Wittmer (2009), those states that have passed the most comprehensive legislation have shown evidence of bi-partisanship and had increased numbers of female legislators involved.

Although not many human trafficking cases have been prosecuted under new state laws, those 42 states with human trafficking laws have the potential to bring serious charges against traffickers. In committing the crime of sex trafficking in Delaware, Montana, New Mexico, or New York the offender may face up to 100 years, while in states like California and Oregon an offender may face 8 to 10 years. In committing the crime of labor trafficking, an offender may face up to 100 years in New Mexico, Montana, and Oklahoma, while in states like Indiana, New York, and California an offender may face 7 to 10 years (Bouche & Wittmer, 2009).

In Ohio, a specification exists that provides the capacity to enhance the charges against those involved in human trafficking.

It is interesting to note that the TVPA has led to a shift in the language used to discuss those who are involved with the trading, buying and selling of human beings in the United States. Those who were previously called “juvenile prostitutes” are now known as victims of “commercial sexual exploitation” or victims of “child sex trafficking”. Pimps are now known under the federal law as “traffickers”. Unfortunately, the change in language has not extended to those who consume these services.

Understanding Human Trafficking in Ohio

It is important to put into perspective the worldwide landscape of human trafficking and Ohio’s place in it. Throughout the world there are origin countries primarily used to recruit potential victims, destination countries where the demand, means, and opportunity to purchase victims is greater, and bi-directional countries that serve as both origin and destination regions (Farr, 2004). The U.S. is reported to be a destination
country, receiving both sex and labor trafficking victims (Polaris Project). Those born in the U.S. who become victims of sex trafficking may be recruited from origin cities or states and shipped to other destination cities and states where they are forced to work.

**Ohio as a Destination State for International Victims of Labor and Sex Trafficking**

Many factors may account for the existence of foreign born trafficking victims in Ohio, some of which include the ease in which victims may be moved in and out of the state, a growing pool of legal and illegal immigrant populations from which to draw victims or hide victims, the number of markets open to foreign born persons, and potential gaps in state laws to curb human trafficking. Other issues have been reported to include the presence of uneducated and ill-prepared first responders, the demand from Ohio consumers for particular services that may, in part, be provided by trafficking victims, and individual characteristics that make potential victims vulnerable. Many of these issues are discussed throughout the report, however, some of those most significant to this section are highlighted below.

International trafficking into the United States often occurs along the Canadian and Mexican borders to the United States (Davis, 2006). Ohio’s proximity to the Canadian border makes it possible for victims to be moved through Michigan and be trafficked in various venues throughout Ohio. Toronto’s international airport has been identified as one of the arrival destinations for some victims who are trafficked in Canada while others are moved through to the United States (Canadian Press, 2004; Estes & Weiner, 2005).

The number of foreign born populations in Ohio continues to increase. From 1990 to 2000, the foreign born population increased 30.7%. Thus far, from 2000 to 2007, the population has increased another 23.6% (Migration Policy Institute, 2008). The growth of minority and immigrant populations in Ohio recently makes it possible to hide victims of international trafficking within these communities (Fedina et.al, 2008).

Ohio businesses employ migrant labor in many different sectors throughout the state. Most of the migrant labor in Ohio and the United States is concentrated in poorly regulated industries that demand cheap labor. Such industries include textiles (sweatshops), agriculture, restaurants, construction and domestic work (Davis, 2006 p.9).

In 2006, immigrants without visas made up a large share of the national workforce in farming (24%), housekeeping (17%), construction (14%), and food preparation (12%) (PEW Hispanic Center, 2006). Currently there are 130 agricultural camps in Ohio (Lucio, 2009).

Brothels fronting as legitimate businesses are present in Ohio (Wilson & Dalton, 2007, p. 75). Asian brothels are known to law enforcement and are often replaced quickly by other, similar establishments, most often spas, clinics, and massage parlors after arrests are made (Wilson & Dalton, 2007, p. 76). The numbers of workers found in the
raids have spanned from two to nine and workers ranged in age from 36 to 57. The victims in these cases are often reported to come from Asian countries, including Thailand and South Korea, and have reportedly moved throughout the country, to and from places such as Texas, New Jersey, California, Georgia, Kentucky, and Virginia (Wilson & Dalton, 2007, p. 77).

Many in law enforcement consider these networks to be highly organized. One investigator suggested that it functioned similarly to a drug operation. Another law enforcement officer called the spas part of an organized crime ring that employs women from other states and foreign countries as prostitutes. Officials also said that businesses such as these appear to be organized and capable of rapidly moving people from location to location. There are some in law enforcement who do view the Asian spas to be more "mom and pop" than mafia (Wilson & Dalton, 2007, p.78).

State laws do play a role in the decision making of human trafficking organizations that are sophisticated and networked. Those more sophisticated trafficking rings are aware of the laws and potential risk of doing business in a particular U.S. state. In a quote from Raymond and Hugh’s (2001) report, it is apparent that traffickers look for states with more lenient laws.

In the Midwest, women are trafficked around the region, as well as to the East and West Coast: from Minneapolis to Tampa, Memphis, New York, Chicago, Seattle, Denver, St. Louis and Las Vegas. Law enforcement officials in this region reported that large numbers of U.S. women are domestically trafficked to other states, because Minnesota laws are stricter than in these states, and the sex businesses move to more permissive regions (p.56).

Ohio has not passed a stand-alone law, but instead passed a specification in the law that provides the capacity to enhance the charges against would be traffickers. After a comprehensive look at all state anti-trafficking laws to date Bouche & Wittmer (2009) argue that "any and all human trafficking legislation is a step in the right direction", however "it is important to recognize that there is a large variation in the comprehensiveness of anti-trafficking legislation across the states."

Ohio as an Origin State for Domestic Victims of Sex and Labor Trafficking

The issue of human trafficking in Ohio has found a place on the statewide public policy agenda in large part due to a number of well documented cases that have occurred in Toledo, Ohio.

Toledo is currently number four in the nation in terms of the number of arrests, investigations, and rescue of domestic minor sex trafficking victims among U.S. cities (Northwest Ohio Innocence Lost Task Force, 2009). The top three cities are Miami (city population 404,048; county population 2,398,245), Portland (city population 537,081; county population 714,567) and Las Vegas (city population 552,539; county population 1,865,746). Given that the city of Toledo’s population is 298,446 and Lucas County’s is
440,456, this area can be considered to lead the nation for the number of traffickers produced and the number of victims recruited into the sex trade per capita (Based on U.S. Census, 2008 estimates).

Why Toledo? Toledo is home to a higher level of law enforcement involvement and general understanding among members of the community about the issue of human trafficking then currently exists in other areas of Ohio. An Innocence Lost Task Force is in place that has helped to focus federal, state and local law enforcement on this issue. Extensive research has also been done and published in academic journals that has gained the attention of the media.

Factors Contributing to Human Trafficking in Ohio

To best understand the factors that contribute to human trafficking in Ohio, we turn to Estes and Weiner (2005) who completed an extensive literature review on those factors contributing to the trafficking of children in the United States. We also used Logan (2007) who assessed the contributing factors for international victims. A summary of their work is represented below in a format designed by Estes and Weiner:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Contributing Factors for Domestic Victims (Estes &amp; Weiner)</th>
<th>Contributing Factors for International Victims (Logan)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| External Macro Environment | External forces and processes that exist in the larger social environment over which individuals can exercise only minimal, if any control, but which nonetheless, exert powerful influences over their lives | • Socio-economic  
• Societal attitudes toward youth  
• Social anomie among children & youth (i.e., a lack of connectedness on the part of youth with the larger society & their place in it)  
• Poverty  
• Child victims of crime & violence  
• Societal responses to crimes committed against children including sex crimes  
• Presence of preexisting adult prostitution markets  
• Presence of groups advocating child-adult sexual relationships  
• Sexual behavior of unattached and transient males e.g. military, seasonal workers, truckers, etc.  
• Community knowledge & attitudes concerning HIV/AIDS | • Poverty  
• Gender and age |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External Micro Environment</th>
<th>External forces and processes that impact individuals directly, but over which they can exert some measure of control</th>
<th>&amp; other sexually transmitted disease</th>
<th>Being isolated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Socio-behavioral</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Having language and/or cultural barriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Family dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Parental drug dependency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• History of physical and/or sexual assault</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Personal drug dependency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• School/other social performance failures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Gang membership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Active recruitment into prostitution by others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Peers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Parents or other family members including siblings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Local pimps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• National/international crime organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pimp Culture as Pop Culture*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal Individual Situation</td>
<td>Forces that influence a person’s sense of mastery over his/her own personal environment and future</td>
<td>• Psychogenic</td>
<td>Possessing an undocumented status while in the U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Poor self esteem</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Lack of formal education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Chronic depression</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Substance abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• External local of control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seriously restricted future orientation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*We included the influence of “pimp culture as pop culture” i.e., acceptance of the pimp-ho lifestyle in music (Oscar winning song “It’s Hard Out Here for a Pimp”), television shows (“pimp my ride”), clothes, and language used by youth.

**The Progression of Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking**

According to a University of Toledo study (2007) 77% of youth involved in prostitution whose activities are not interrupted, progress into adult prostitution, with most being involved in street based work and all of the associated personal and social ills associated with it. Those involved in adult prostitution experience poor emotional and mental health, substance abuse, frequent episodes of acute violence and chronic trauma, and HIV and other health risks that are statistically significant from other women offenders (Ventura, Williamson et al., 2007).

Some studies reveal that commercial sex is a frequent gateway crime for women who later commit more serious criminal offenses. Over 70% of female inmates in United States prisons were first arrested for engaging in commercial sexual acts. *The End*
Demand for Sex Trafficking Act in 2005 identified that for every 3 women in jail in the United States today, 1 was arrested for prostitution, and 7 of every 10 women imprisoned on felony convictions were initially arrested for prostitution (Library of Congress, 109th Congress, 1st Session, H. R. 2012, 2005).

The Network of Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking

The social network of organized prostitution within recruitment areas involves several players connected to and economically benefiting from the commercial sexual exploitation of children. This loosely connected system of players serves to keep the underground network operating with little disruption from local law enforcement, neighborhood watch, or social service agency interventions. The roles played by those in the underground network include: connectors, recruiters, groomers, traffickers, bottoms, watchers, wife in laws, tricks, bouncers and/or security guards. Each serves a purpose in keeping trafficking in operation. Other than the trafficker, each knows little about an entire enterprise, but acts sometimes independently, as a link in the overall chain of child trafficking. Once a link is removed by law enforcement or through social service intervention, it is quickly replaced with another player seeking to make money from the potential available dollars within the trafficking enterprise (Williamson & Prior, 2009).

Traffickers recruit children into prostitution using many techniques. Grooming or “finesse pimping” involves manipulating young girls into situations where they seemingly make their own decisions to enter, sell sexual services, and give their money to a trafficker. “Bait and Switch” techniques involve presenting attractive opportunities as bait in order to gain the attention and build trust or hope in a victim, only to switch the situation for the economic gain of the trafficker. Another form of recruitment is “guerilla pimping” in which a trafficker approaches and forces the victim to work through the use of threat, physical violence, and intimidation (Williamson & Prior, 2009 p.50).

The Network of International Sex and Labor Trafficking

Those involved in international trafficking may be very sophisticated organized crime rings, mom and pop shops in villages, or a combination of both. Human trafficking inevitably involves those in legitimate businesses and places of authority who are willing to look the other way in exchange for specific benefits. Victims may know or not know why they are being brought to the United States. Some come believing they will work legitimate jobs, some pay to be smuggled into the country illegally and once in the U.S. find themselves victims of human trafficking, and others know they will be involved in trafficking but because of limited resources and the need to survive or support a family agree to a temporary situation that turns into modern day slavery (Farr, 2004).

Foreign born victims of labor or sex trafficking who are undocumented are often indebted to their traffickers and must pay their debt. They may be promised freedom once their debt is paid, however, typically very little of their substandard wages, if any, goes toward their debt. They are subjected to such physical harms as beatings, broken
bones, concussions, burns, stabbings, malnutrition, rotting teeth, alcohol and drug abuse, torture, and both acute and chronic health conditions. Those exclusively trafficked into the sex trade may suffer additional vaginal and anal tearing, rapes, pregnancies, fertility problems, exposure to HIV/AIDS and other sexual transmitted infections, and abortions. Psychological harms include dissociation, shame, grief, depression, hopelessness, anxiety disorders, culture shock, self destructive behaviors including suicide, and traumatic bonding with their trafficker(s) (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; Farr, 2004).

Not understanding the language, the culture, or the laws of the United States, international victims are told by their traffickers that great harm will come to them, either by the traffickers or by the United States, if they were to tell anyone about their plight. Victims are moved regularly to decrease the chance that they will learn their own whereabouts, build a relationship with someone who may be able to help them, or become comfortable enough to escape.

Traffickers often hold on to any documents belonging to victims as another form of insurance that the victim will not leave. Victims are taught to lie to authorities in an attempt to avoid physical consequences from traffickers. Some have had past experiences with corrupt law enforcement personnel in their country of origin and do not trust the police.
Section III
National Estimates and Barriers to Estimating the Number of U.S. Victims
National Estimates

How many foreign born persons are trafficked into the U.S.?

In 2001, the Trafficking in Persons report issued by U.S. Department of State indicated that in 2000 there were between 45,000 and 50,000 individuals trafficked into the United States. The 2002 report identified that 50,000 females were trafficked into the U.S. for the purposes of commercial sexual exploitation, with no report on the number of adult males who were trafficked into the country or the number of those trafficked into the country as victims of labor trafficking. By 2003 the Department of State reported that 18,000 to 20,000 persons were trafficked into the U.S. during the previous year. In 2004, the number was again reduced to between 14,500 and 17,500 victims. Little substantive explanation of how these estimates were generated has been provided (Clawson, Layne, & Small, 2006 p. 3-4). To date, a large part of the methodology remains elusive.

Clawson, Layne, and Small (2006) developed two methods for better understanding the number of the trafficking victims penetrating U.S. borders. In applying their methods on eight source countries entering the U.S. through the southwest border, they concluded that 25,647 females are trafficked into the U.S. for sex and 46,849 males and females are trafficked across the southwest border for labor, totaling 72,496. This does not include other countries or other entry ways.

Some believe numbers of human trafficking victims in the U.S. have been inflated for political reasons (Davies, 2009). Whether numbers are inflated or not, clearly arrest rates of traffickers and the rescue of victims have not kept up with even the most conservative estimates. In 2008, the Department of Justice Civil Rights Division and U.S. Attorney’s Offices initiated 183 investigations, charged 82 individuals, and obtained 77 convictions in 40 human trafficking cases (13 labor trafficking and 27 sex trafficking). In 2008, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued 247 T-Visa’s and 171 T-Visa’s to immediate family members. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services certified 286 foreign adults and issued eligibility letters to 31 foreign minors (Trafficking in Persons Report, 2009, p. 57). Certified victims were from 40 countries, with many from Mexico (66), Thailand (56), Philippines (46), Korea (12), and China (8). The Polaris Project in Washington, DC reports that since the passing of the TVPA, the U.S. government certified 131 minors and 1,248 adults from 77 different countries (Polaris Project, Human Trafficking Statistics, 2009).

How many domestic victims of trafficking are in the U.S.?

Domestic trafficking in the U.S. encompasses trafficking for the purposes of labor and/or sex. Issues of labor abuses involving U.S. citizens are normally identified as employment violations. Most often these abuses, which come in the form of paying less than minimum wage, overtime violations, being made to work “off the clock”, meal break violations, workers compensation violations, pay stub disputes and illegal deductions, and employer retaliation, among others, are handled by the Department of Labor, the
Employee Rights Center, or other advocacy oriented organizations. These abuses and others may turn into human trafficking when one is not allowed to leave their place of employment or not allowed to quit their job.

In 2007, The New York Times did a series on the issue of domestic labor trafficking involving a group of “traveling magazine crews”. Youth employed in these crews cross states selling magazines. The Times focused their story on a young man from Miamisburg, Ohio who joined a crew of 20 to work, make money, and see the United States. For six months he crossed 10 states, worked 10 to 14 hours a day, six days a week, and slept three to a room in cheap motels (one always slept on the floor). He survived most days on $10 or less per day. His earnings were never given to him, but were instead put “on the books”. The Ohio man saw others beaten by the manager or by those paid by the manager, and thought he would end up dead or disappear. He finally convinced his “manager” to let him go because of excessive warrants for illegally selling subscriptions across five states. He was finally dropped off 1000 miles from home with $17 (Urbina, 2007).

During their investigation more than 50 other crew members discussed issues of indebtedness, violence, and drug abuse, with physical consequences for missing daily quotas or for attracting police attention. Magazine sellers also discussed being given permission to read mail from home only after it was first opened by the company’s central office. While some reported occasionally making several hundred dollars per week, most reported receiving about $15 per week, with the rest going toward expenses. Drug abuse was rampant, with some being supplied by managers. Work began with a 7:00 AM sales meeting to rehearse selling pitches and crews typically worked until 10:00 PM. In 1999, Darlene Adkins, Vice President of Public Policy for the National Consumers League’s Child Labor Coalition was reported in the article to have estimated that approximately 30,000 youth are involved in similar situations. In one 1987 congressional investigation of a company, of the 418 sellers, 413 left the company owing money (Urbina, 2007).

Since the creation of the Human Trafficking Reporting System, over 1,200 victims have been reported. Of those, “slightly more than half...were U.S. citizens. U.S. citizens accounted for 63% of sex trafficking victims, compared to 4% of labor trafficking victims” (Trafficking in Persons Report, 2009, p. 20). Generally studies lack the ability to identify estimates of the number of U.S. citizens who are victims of labor trafficking. What is known thus far is that victims of labor trafficking tend to be older, while victims of sex trafficking tend to be younger (Irazola, Williamson, Chen, Garrett, & Clawson, 2008).

When it comes to child sex trafficking, also referred to as “domestic minor sex trafficking” (DMST), the most quoted research is that of Estes and Weiner (2001) who estimated that there were 325,575 children (including citizens, those brought into the country from abroad, and those U.S. children crossing over to Canada and Mexico) who were at-risk of commercial sexual exploitation. This number is typically estimated to be 326,000 in other reports. Estes and Weiner reduced the number by 25% to minimize the issue of duplication of their count of high risk youth such as runaway and throwaway
youth. They estimated that 244,181 citizens and international youth in the U.S. were at-risk of commercial sexual exploitation.

More recently, Ernie Allen of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children reported that 100,000 U.S. children were estimated to be trafficked into the sex trade (2009). It is believed that his estimate may have come from a report from UNICEF, State of the World’s Children published in 1997.

The Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention estimated that 38,600 of the 1.7 million runaways/throwaways were at-risk of sexual exploitation or endangerment (2006). Those youth who engage in trading sex for money, food, drugs, items, or a place to stay, fall victim to commercial sexual exploitation known as “survival sex”.

Engaging in “survival sex” as a runaway is but one way youth are commercially sexually exploited. Other avenues for commercial child exploitation include being involved in pornography, being sold on the internet (Craigslist), modeling, stripping, and pimp controlled prostitution on the streets, at truck stops, cat houses (houses set up for prostitution), and conventions (Estes & Weiner, 2001; Williamson, 2009).

U.S. adults who are victims of sex trafficking are often arrested and charged with prostitution, loitering, or solicitation. Little if any time is spent identifying if these adults are victims of force, fraud, or coercion from traffickers (Schauer & Wheaton, 2006).

Barriers to Estimating Human Trafficking in the United States

Problems with Current Estimates of International Victims

Accurate numbers from the U.S. government on human trafficking worldwide remain elusive and suspect. According to Schauer and Wheaton, six obvious explanations exist for why it is so difficult to determine the number of trafficking victims in the U.S. and around the world. The first barrier is the hidden nature of the activity and the fact that only known cases in prohibiting statutes are prosecuted. Second, even though the crime of trafficking does not require movement, victims are frequently mobile making identification difficult. Third, precise definitions of trafficking are not followed. Smuggled and trafficked individuals are often counted on the same lists. Some countries, not wishing to lose aid from others countries, do not report trafficking. Fourth, government corruption inhibits control and reporting of trafficking. Fifth, local police who lack training on trafficking victim identification may arrest domestic victims and have international victims deported. Finally, the U.S. excludes some countries from its Trafficking in Persons Report because of U.S. interests and other political reasons (2006).

In 2005, the Department of State hosted a seminar to uncover the challenges to conducting Trafficking in Persons research. In summary, experts that attended identified the following problems: existing data is often too program specific and may duplicate other findings; some existing estimates only include sexual exploitation of women and children; there is still confusion over the issue of smuggling versus trafficking; trafficking
is underreported; inconsistent definitions of human trafficking exist; there is limited access to traffickers; various countries or government agencies within the U.S. are reluctant to share data, and finally there is a need for technical and financial assistance for data collection and standardization (Clawson, Layne, & Small, 2006).

Finally in a 2006 release, The Government Accountability Office reported the following:

> The accuracy of the estimates is in doubt because of methodological weaknesses, gaps in data, and numerical discrepancies. For example, the U.S. government’s estimate was developed by one person who did not document all of his work, so the estimate may not be replicable, casting doubt on its reliability. Moreover, the quality of existing country level data varies due to limited availability, reliability, and comparability. There is also a considerable discrepancy between the numbers of observed and estimated victims of human trafficking. The U.S. government has not yet established an effective mechanism for estimating the number of victims or for conducting ongoing analysis of trafficking related data that resides within various government agencies (GAO, 2006, p.2).

**Problems with Current Estimates of Domestic Victims**

The Crimes Against Children Research Center released a report discussing the limitations of recent and older studies that claim to determine the number of youth involved in prostitution or the number of youth at-risk for involvement. The committee reviewed these studies and agrees with the conclusions of their report. This section of our report discusses those findings.

According to the Crimes Against Children Research Center, Estes and Weiner’s (2001) estimates that 326,000 youth were at-risk for trafficking may be flawed by their methodology. To determine this statistic Estes and Weiner compiled 14 elements (e.g., runaway youth, throwaway youth, children homes, unaccompanied minors entering the U.S., female gang members etc.). Without any way of determining how many youth from these groups are at-risk, and through an educated guess, they chose a percentage that seemed reasonable to them, which was 35% of the national estimate of runaway youth who were out of their homes for a week or longer. However, it is difficult to determine the amount of duplication taking place in the last estimate, for example, between runaways who are also female gang members or throwaways who are also transgendered (Stransky & Finkelhol, 2008). Further, Estes and Weiner chose to discuss their findings in terms of “at-risk” groups, because of the difficulty in determining the actual number of trafficked youth. Of course Estes and Weiner discussed this and presented their estimates with adequate explanation; but most often as their findings are reported by others, it is reported as fact without explanation of those limitations they so eloquently explain in their initial reports. Nonetheless, to date, Estes and Weiner provide the most comprehensive estimates on domestic minor sex trafficking in the U.S.

The Crimes Against Children Research Center cites another large study called *The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health* which is comprised of a nationally
representative sample of 13,294 American youth in grades 8\textsuperscript{th} through 12\textsuperscript{th} who were in school in 1996. Known as the AddHealth Survey, they asked youth if they had ever had sex for drugs or money. Over half (67.9\%) of males in the sample reported they had a sex exchange (for drugs or money). However, from these findings it is unclear the number of males engaging in prostitution by trading sex for money or drugs as a seller or purchaser and the number who exchanged sex under consensual sexual circumstances not characterized as prostitution. Because the survey found more boys engaged in trading sex, the findings were suspect and not credible for a measure of prostitution (Stransky & Finkelhor, 2008).

In light of both of the above examples many shortcomings and obvious flaws to historical and current research on human trafficking in the U.S. exists. Our goal was to extrapolate and provide as much useful information from studies on human trafficking to be used for Ohio as possible. A key acknowledgement of our research team was to recognize that if primary assumptions and estimates are faulty, additional estimates, even though they follow a logical and well informed flow, will also be faulty. We attempted to identify and avoid these whenever possible. In addition, we recognize that the problem in Ohio, much like the U.S. is still emerging. The team provided the best possible estimates providing current literature and existing data available.
Section IV
A Snapshot of Identified Cases in Ohio
Identified Cases of Human Trafficking in Ohio

The research team defined identified cases of human trafficking as those that have been confirmed by a federal or state criminal justice entity and/or those cases identified through media reports.

The two most obvious databases in which to review data are the National Human Trafficking Resource Center Hotline (NHTRC) and the Human Trafficking Reporting System (HTRS). Between December 7, 2007 and December 31, 2009, Polaris Project reported that the National Human Trafficking Resource Center had received 13,622 calls, of which 261 calls were from Ohio. The calls can be broken down into specific categories: 111 calls were related to general information, 71 calls provided tips on human trafficking, 22 calls were referral requests for various services, 17 calls were inquiries about training or technical assistance, 25 calls referenced non-trafficking related fields, e.g. labor exploitation or domestic violence, 4 calls required a crisis response, and 11 calls were recorded as “linked” calls or “unable to determine”. ¹

The Human Trafficking Reporting System (HTRS), tracks incidents of suspected human trafficking. Because Ohio does not yet have a federally funded FBI Task Force, we are not reported in the HTRS.

Below is a snapshot of human trafficking in Ohio, based on data from Immigration Customs Enforcement, the Innocence Lost Task Force, and newspaper articles. Additional examples appear in Appendix C.

Trafficking of International Victims in Ohio

Wilson & Dalton (2007) studied newspaper articles in the Toledo Blade and Columbus Dispatch from January 1, 2003, to June 30, 2006. They found five labor trafficking cases that included four cases of domestic servitude and one hotel exploitation in Columbus (Wilson & Dalton, 2007). The victims in these cases were threatened by traffickers with jail or deportation and some were beaten. While they don’t recount detailed information on these cases, Wilson and Dalton highlight the labor trafficking of women.

Since that time, more cases of human trafficking have surfaced. Seven cases of labor trafficking were identified in the time period ranging from 2007 to 2009. Cincinnati had one case of labor trafficking in 2008 and one in 2009, Columbus had three cases of labor trafficking in 2008 and one in 2009 and Cleveland had one case of labor trafficking in 2009. A total of 11 potential international sex trafficking cases were identified in our newspaper review. A majority of the identified international sex trafficking victims were rescued from massage parlors and health spas. Immigration Customs Enforcement

The data provided here was generated based on limited criteria from calls received by the National Human Trafficking Resource Center hotline. This is not a comprehensive report on the scale or scope of human trafficking within the state and these statistics may be subject to change.
identified three cases of sex trafficking from 2007 to 2009 with one case in Cincinnati and two cases in Columbus.

The case of Maria tells us about trafficking that spanned from Central America to Ohio and the experience of a young woman who was hard at work and let her guard down for a moment – a moment that would cost her several years of enslavement.

Maria*, a 20 year old girl from Central America, was working at a taco stand, where she befriended a regular client. After several weeks, they began to date. He said he loved her and wanted to be with her and asked her to move in with him. She did, and from that moment on his demeanor changed. He started beating Maria and would not let her leave or speak to anyone else. After a week, he started taking her to alleys and sold her for money. Afterwards, he would order her back to the house where she was watched continuously. That went on for four years. Her traffickers, along with others, decided they would make more money in the U.S. So, Maria and two other girls were trafficked into the U.S. from Mexico City, where they were met by a van to transport them to New York. Once there, they were delivered to apartments in Maryland, Washington, New York and Ohio to have sex with men. The traffickers would keep the money, telling her it was for their housing and other expenses and beat or threatened her and others when they did not do as they were told. When a customer in Ohio learned of what was happening to Maria, he helped her escape to a safe location, where she currently resides fearful of her captors and deportation from authorities.

*Story provided by Central Ohio Rescue and Restore staff. Identifying characteristics have been changed to protect the victim.

**Trafficking of Domestic Victims**

According to the Northwest Ohio Innocence Lost Task Force, Toledo has identified 60 domestic child victims of sex trafficking since its inception in 2006. As a result, Ohio has been involved in almost every national investigation into domestic minor sex trafficking since the passing of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000. Those include: Operation Precious Cargo, and Operation Cross Country I, II, III, and IV.

Operation Precious Cargo occurred in December, 2005 in Harrisburg, PA. As a result of this investigation 151 victims of prostitution were identified, at least 45 of which were prostituted as children. Seventy-eight of 151 identified victims were from Toledo, the youngest of whom was just 12 years old. Of the 18 traffickers indicted in the Precious Cargo Case, all but one was from Toledo. Sixteen of the traffickers pleaded guilty and received sentences of up to 25 years in prison. Two traffickers were found guilty at trial and received 35 and 45 years in prison. In addition to the 18 traffickers indicted in the Precious Cargo Case, at least six other Toledo based traffickers have been prosecuted for the sex trafficking of women and children by other FBI Divisions.

All of the girls from the Precious Cargo Case were vulnerable for one reason or another. This is the story of Julie, one of the girls who was only 12 years old at the time she was trafficked.
Julie* was walking down the street when a man in a car pulled up to talk to her and convinced her to take a ride. She explains,

    I really didn’t want to ’cause I was scared but you know how an older person just comes at you like that and you don’t know what to do…He just said we were going to just sit there for a minute and you know a minute turned into days. He was acting all nice and buying me whatever I wanted.

At the time she was approached by a trafficker, her home life was chaotic. She lived in poverty with an alcoholic father. When he became intoxicated, yelling would quickly progress to physical assaults. She felt stuck.

    I did want to leave…because my dad, he’s always drinking and stuff like that and till this day now, he still does it and back then he would slap me and stuff so I didn’t want to stay, you know, but I didn’t want to leave cause that lifestyle, at first I thought it was ok but after being out there and having to do that…

At the time of her recruitment Julie was barely connected to school, with special needs and not receiving sufficient grades, she continued to attend in hopes of catching up with her classmates. Over time, and with much convincing from her would be trafficker, Julie ended up hesitantly agreeing to get in the car. Over time he convinced her to be driven to Harrisburg, PA. While there she was taken to a motel to dress in sexier clothing and driven to a truck stop and was expected to sell herself. She was walked over to various trucks, forced to get in and have sex with truck drivers while her “watcher” waited.

    [He took me] to where all the other girls are, ’cause he got like five other girls and we was at a motel. We stayed until it got dark like around five or six then went out there and worked. I didn’t know it was going to be a truck stop, I didn’t know what to think. I didn’t think I would have to walk up and down in the cold and having nasty old truck drivers touch me and stuff. I didn’t feel right…I gave the girl the money; the girl held my money after every date I had.

At the end of three days, and with the help of a truck driver and an adult friend, also recruited from Toledo into the sex trade, they were able to escape and call the police.

    With the help of the Innocence Lost Task Force, she was rescued and the traffickers were prosecuted.

* Story provided by Williamson (2008) raw data. Identifying characteristics have been changed to protect the victim.

However, rescue out of the sex trafficking is not the end of the story, but the beginning of a longer, more difficult road to recovery. After returning to school, Julie was ridiculed by her classmates for being a “prostitute”. As a result, she refused to attend school, quickly fell behind, and quit. Although child protective services were involved, the
reasons for her initial runaway episodes were not resolved. She was returned home and continued to periodically runaway when the drinking and the yelling from her father reached a point of frustration. By age 14, she began to smoke crack cocaine and became a regular user. Having been trained by her trafficker, she knew how to work the streets to obtain money to support her drug habit. She was arrested from time to time, and was often depressed, feeling as though she was missing something bigger out of life. After becoming involved in some local programs in Toledo, she reluctantly began attending support groups and began to work on her GED. Her social worker visited her two or three time a week. She moved away from her parents and moved in with a relative. Over the next two years she continued to maintain sobriety for a few months and would then slip back into crack cocaine use. Today she is 18 and continues to struggle with sobriety.

Although the story above is a common one, being manipulated and finessed into human trafficking is not always the case. Others have experienced what is known as “guerilla” pimping. Katie explains her circumstance:

I was walking down the street and this guy...he just picked me up and started beating me and just for no reason and he told me I was going to be his ho. And just started basically abusing me and threatening me.... [he was in a] black Yukon ...he tried to talk to me at first but I told him how old I was and then he rolled up around the corner and jumped out the car and just started hitting me....He said if I didn't do what he said he was going to hurt my little brothers and sisters and my mom and I didn’t want that to happen so I did what he said (Williamson, 2008).

Operation Cross Country has become the mechanism from which youth in these circumstances can hope for a rescue and return to some semblance of their lives. Operation Cross Country involves the cooperation and collaboration of federal, state, county, and local law enforcement to investigate, arrest traffickers, and rescue youth across U.S. cities who are trapped in the sex trade. Thus far, there have been four Operation Cross Country investigations that have resulted in a total of 153 arrests and recoveries of children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Children</th>
<th>Traffickers</th>
<th>Customers</th>
<th>Others Involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operation Cross Country I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation Cross Country II</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation Cross Country III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation Cross Country IV</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section V
Estimations of the Number of Human Trafficking Victims in Ohio
Research Strategy Overview

From news reports and law enforcement data it is evident that cases of both sex trafficking and labor trafficking are in Ohio. It is also clear that both international and domestic victims are within Ohio’s borders. In order for Ohio to make more informed decisions about human trafficking, it is necessary to better understand the number of victims that may be here.

In preparation to estimate the prevalence of human trafficking in Ohio, several factors were taken into consideration. First, because human trafficking in the U.S. has more recently been recognized as a serious social problem, not much has been done to determine, with the necessary rigor, the prevalence of human trafficking in the U.S. or in any particular state.

Because of the relative newness of the research question, there are several missing pieces among research and reports that could be used to more accurately determine the prevalence of human trafficking. Because there is evidence of human trafficking in Ohio, the research team felt it necessary to provide as much useful information as possible in this report and then engage in a longer-term research study, the proposed Plan B, which would confirm, deny, or enhance the findings in this preliminary report.

For this report, we decided we would use the best data available to date in order to provide as much useful and relevant information as possible. However, when critical pieces were missing in other data and reports, the research team attempted to make assumptions that were based on logical conclusions. When necessary, the team aired on the side of conservatism when responding to those missing factors.

Estimation of those At-risk and the Prevalence of Human Trafficking Among Foreign Born Victims in Ohio

The research team worked to determine an estimation of the prevalence of those suspected cases of human trafficking for labor and sexual purposes in Ohio for foreign born victims. To accomplish this goal, the team identified foreign born persons in Ohio as those with legal status including legal permanent residents, naturalized citizens (from legal permanent residents), temporary legal residents, refugees and asylees. Those unauthorized to be in the country are identified as illegal and undocumented.

In using the Current Population Survey of the U.S. Census Bureau, the Migration Policy Institute (2008) reports there were 414,443 foreign born residents in Ohio in 2007. Those include both legal and illegal immigrants.

Based on reports, data bases, newspaper articles, and research articles, the team developed risk factors for foreign born victims that may be most prevalent in Ohio. The framework for estimating the prevalence of human trafficking in Ohio was taken from Clawson, Layne, and Small’s (2006) study entitled, *Estimating Human Trafficking into the United States: Development of a Methodology* in which they studied eight human
trafficking source countries entering through the southwest border of the United States. Clawson, Layne, and Small’s Source Zone Model identifies those “push” factors in countries of origin that would create vulnerability to human trafficking including disparate economic growth, a breakdown of economic systems, increase in war and armed conflict, environmental degradation, natural disasters, and family violence. They also used “country specific factors” to uncover the risk associated with the potential for female trafficking identified through the Gini Index, Corruption Perception Index (CPI), the Gender-Related Development Index (GDI), and the percent of the country that is urban.

“The Gini index measures the extent to which the distribution of income (or consumption) among individuals or households within a country deviates from a perfectly equal distribution” (p. 21). The Corruption Perception Index (CPI) provides information on the perceived level of organized crime by business people and through the use of other assessments. The Gender-Related Development Index (GDI) measures the level of achievement between the genders, inequality including life span, life expectancy at birth, literacy rate, school enrollment, and standard of living measured by earned income. Country-specific risk indices for males also included the percentage of men who were unemployed in the country and the Consumer Price Index which was used to understand the cost of living, inflation, and the effectiveness of government policy. They also took into account each country’s Tier Rating according to the Trafficking in Persons Reports issued through the U.S. Department of State. The researchers then quantified those risk factors and determined the number of potential victims and then the number of victims that were trafficked. They then developed a Transit Model to assess the number of victims that are moved from their countries of origin, through other countries, and into the United States, eliminating the number that die in transit, escape, and are trafficked internally. They concluded that over 70,000 victims were trafficked through the southwest border into the States alone.

However, Clawson’s study stops there. What our research team wanted to know is once trafficking victims are in the United States, entering from any border, why (and how many victims) would traffickers then bring victims to Ohio or why (and how many potential victims) would come to Ohio and then get caught up as trafficking victims while they are here? To answer this question, we used Clawson’s work as a framework as we sought to identify those “pull” factors that would draw traffickers and victims to Ohio once they are in the United States, quantify those, and then extrapolate from this factor, the number of undocumented foreign born persons that may be at-risk of being trafficked into the labor and/or sex trade in Ohio. These factors have not yet been empirically tested and are used in this preliminary study to provide a measure upon which to ground our estimates. Further, we hypothesized that those at greater risk in Ohio are between 12 and 54 years old. Thus our formula is presented below:

\[
\text{Total immigrant population – legal immigrants} \times \text{pull factors} = \text{those at-risk}
\]

\[
\text{population under 12 or over 54}
\]
Three pull factors were developed and include: the presence of markets for human trafficking, the demand for sexual and labor services in neighboring states that place traffickers and victims in proximity of Ohio and the existence of sizable populations of foreign born individuals.

1. The Presence of Markets for Human Trafficking

The presence of markets absorbing cheap labor, with few enforceable regulations in Ohio is a reality. Ohio has a fairly moderate sized agricultural market, compared to other states in the U.S. with corn and soybeans being its leading crops. Ohio currently has 130 migrant labor camps that employ numerous individuals, a majority of which are from the Hispanic migrant labor pool. Because of this market, among others such as restaurants, textile industry, landscaping, and small factories, Ohio attracts many foreign born immigrant groups looking for work, both undocumented and documented.

Sexual markets to service both native born and foreign born men exist in Ohio and include an abundance of strip clubs or gentlemen’s clubs. Presently Ohio ranks 5th among the states with the largest number of strip clubs (Synder, 2007). Other sex markets include massage parlors operating as fronts for prostitution and markets to serve migrant men (See Operation Cross Country IV). The research team located at least one massage parlor in every major city in Ohio and many in proximity to highways for easy access to its clientele (e.g., truck drivers, businessmen, military men, and others). Less is known about the existence of other sexual markets in Ohio such as pornography making businesses, servile marriage and sexual servitude.

2. Demand for Sexual and Labor Services in Neighboring States

Estes and Weiner (2001) determined that foreign born children at-risk of being trafficked into the U.S. most commonly entered from 41 different countries of origin. Because Ohio was not included in the Estes and Weiner study, the team made some assumptions based on the proximity of victims found in the United States to Ohio and relied on what is known about the frequent movement of trafficking victims. We identified the two nearest cities included in the Estes and Weiner study that were closest to Ohio, which included Chicago and Detroit. It is most likely that if child trafficking of international victims is occurring in Ohio, those most likely to be transported to Ohio would be those that may have been trafficked for a time in Chicago and Detroit. Based on this assumption, those trafficked youth reported by Estes and Weiner (2001) to have gone through Detroit or Chicago were from the following countries of origin: Burma, Korea, China, Somalia, Sudan, Sri Lanka, Vietnam, Columbia, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, Canada, Mexico, Bosnia, Poland, Russian Federation, and Byelorussia.

The most frequently reported borders used to enter the U.S. seem to be the southwest and Canadian borders. In the case of Latinos, it is more likely that they are brought in through the southwest border (Clawson et al., 2006) and travel upwards to Ohio. However, in the case of other non-Hispanic victims who end up in Ohio, it is likely that
they are brought in via the Canadian border. The Royal Canadian Mounted Police estimated that 600-800 persons are trafficked into Canada annually and that an additional 1,500-2,200 persons are trafficked through Canada into the United States (Canadian Press, 2004). Estes and Weiner (2001) believed that Korean victims were most often being brought in via Toronto to Detroit and that Chinese victims were being brought in to Chicago and New York via Toronto and Vancouver by boat, planes, and vans.

Because of Ohio’s position in the country, it is not likely that Ohio is the original destination for many traffickers. It is more likely that Ohio is one of the states where victims are sold while they are being moved around. Once the market demand is established, it is then likely that Ohio becomes the direct destination route from a country of origin into Ohio. Therefore, the existence of human trafficking in neighboring states becomes a pull factor for those victims to also be sold in various venues in Ohio.

3. The Presence of Sizable Populations of Foreign Born Individuals

Ohio has 11 million residents and is ranked seventh among U.S. states with the most residents (U.S. Census Bureau, 2000). From 1990 to 2000, Ohio’s Hispanic population increased 54.4% and the Asian population increased 48.5% (U.S. Census, 2000; Davis 2006). Presently, almost half of the foreign-born residents in Ohio came from the following 10 countries with the first three accounting for approximately a quarter (26.4%) of all immigrants in Ohio. Those are Mexico (26,371 in 2000 and 43,178 in 2006), India (21,474 in 2000 to 37,940 in 2006), and China (21,262 in 2000 to 27,761 in 2006) followed by Germany, Canada, Philippines, Vietnam, Korea, England, and Italy (Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR), 2007).

While not accounted for in FAIR’s report, the Somali population in Ohio has also grown to over 15,000. Currently, Franklin County is home to the second largest Somali population in the United States, followed by Minneapolis (Community Research Partners, 2009).

Although not a substantial population in Ohio, just over the border in southeastern Michigan resides a sizable population of Eastern European immigrants who experienced a massive influx of their population during the first half of the twentieth century (Liu & Rogers). Although in recent years it has declined, the population of Eastern Europeans in this area remains sizable.

Immigrant communities create optimal conditions to smuggle and traffic others (Davis, 2006 p.6). Traffickers can easily hide trafficking victims within larger immigrant communities without them standing out and becoming noticeable. Therefore, larger immigrant communities become a pull factor for trafficking of particular groups.

Quantifying Pull Factors
The team developed a model that quantified those “pull” factors discussed above for those immigrant groups in Ohio who were undocumented. We separated undocumented persons by gender and group (Hispanics, Asians, Blacks, and Whites). We then used the following as a guide:

1. The potential for foreign born persons to be attracted to work in Ohio was based on the presence and availability of markets, the history of those groups working in particular markets, and the perceived demand. For example, the demand for and history of Hispanic men to work in agricultural markets and the availability of agricultural markets in Ohio is strong. If there was evidence of strong markets available that attracted particular foreign born populations, the group received a .05. If there were moderately strong evidence the group received a .04 and so on.

2. In quantifying the presence of trafficking in neighboring states, particularly Detroit and Chicago, if the team found strong evidence, identified as more than one incident of trafficking of a particular group in the neighboring cities of Detroit and Chicago, we rated this area a .03. If we found evidence, identified as at least one incident, we rated this area a .02. If we found some evidence, news articles and documents discussing trafficking of a particular group, we rated this area a .01, and if we found no evidence of trafficking of a particular group, we rated it a 0.

3. We quantified the size of an immigrant community each separated by the number of females and males present. If the community (both legal and illegal) had a population in Ohio over 50,000, we rated it a .03. For a population size from 30,000 to 49,999, it was rated a .02. A community with a population from 10,000 to 29,999 received a .01, and smaller immigrant groups received a 0.

Related to the size of the immigrant community are the Tier Ratings assigned in the Trafficking in Persons Report (2009). We included the U.S. Trafficking in Person Tier Ratings for those countries rated to be in compliance with anti-human trafficking efforts (Tier I), working on compliance (Tier II), and those rated as not in compliance (Tier III) with trafficking protocols as a measure of those at-risk of being trafficked to the U.S. and eventually Ohio. The significance of this line of reasoning is that even though Tier Ratings are not believed to cause a direct pull into Ohio, those groups with less favorable ratings are more likely to be trafficked into the U.S. and then into Ohio. Tier Ratings were quantified according to their rating: A group who received a Tier I rating from the U.S. received a .01 rating from the team. For a Tier II rating, they received a .02 and so forth. In those cases where there were variations in ratings across groups (e.g., Japan received a Tier II rating and North Korea received a Tier III rating), we chose the more conservative rating to quantify the group identified as Asians.

All sums from ratings were then added together as percentages.

Table 1: Quantification of Pull Factors in Ohio
The number of illegals in Ohio total 115,000 (FAIR, 2007). It is reported that 50% of trafficking victims are children and 50% are adults. Eighty percent are women and girls and 20% are men and boys. Seventy percent of female victims are trafficked into the sex trade, while 30% are trafficked for purposes of labor (Polaris Project – Human Trafficking Statistics\(^1\)). We weighted the number of undocumented persons in Ohio accordingly and used these figures as a guide in our estimations. We then transformed our sum of risk into a percentage factor and multiplied the number of weighted illegal females and males by the percentage of risk to obtain the number in each group who are at-risk for trafficking.

Table 2: Undocumented Persons At Risk for Human Trafficking in Ohio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th># Illegal Males &amp; Females in the High Risk Category</th>
<th>Risk Factor Sum</th>
<th>Number at-risk for Trafficking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHF</td>
<td>7,141</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHM</td>
<td>1,757</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAF</td>
<td>14,235</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>1,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAM</td>
<td>3,502</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBF</td>
<td>4,405</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBM</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWF</td>
<td>7,178</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWM</td>
<td>1,515</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>40,248</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,022</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For example, the number of illegal females in Ohio is 57,960. The number of Asian illegal females in Ohio is estimated to be 17,794. In using the government estimate that 80% of those trafficked in the U.S. are women and girls - our number of potential undocumented Asian females (14,235) are included in our pool of potential persons at-risk. We multiplied 14,235 by 10%.

Once the number of those who were at-risk was established, the team worked toward developing an estimate of the number of those who may be trafficked. This was accomplished by estimating the perceived level of demand for sexual services and the demand for labor services. If the demand for sexual services was perceived to be high

\(^1\) Polaris Project’s statistics come from various U.S. government reports.
for a particular group, the team rated this section a 3. If the team perceived the market had a moderate draw for international persons then the rating was a 2 and so forth. Ratings were based on the perceived markets available and the demand for these services (e.g., strip clubs, massage parlors, migrant camps, etc.) and in the case of sexual services, blogs written about the groups said to be delivering sexual services in these venues in Ohio. It was also based on the nationalities of those arrested or rescued from massage parlors, strip clubs, and other venues where trafficking may be occurring.

For example, the demand for Asian women or girls to work in massage parlors is assessed to be high, and to a lesser extent to work in ethnic restaurants and buffets. In addition the demand for trafficking victims drops from high to moderate when the demand for a service is being met by another group, especially when the group meeting the need is being exploited but not trafficked. An example of such would be the demand for Russian and other Eastern European women to work in strip clubs and gentlemen’s clubs. Although a desirable commodity, since other women are willing to work these jobs, the demand for Eastern European women drops from high to moderate. This is also the case for Hispanic men who may be trafficked into the labor field. Because there are Mexican men willing to work in substandard conditions and may even be exploited, the market to traffic Mexican men in these markets becomes moderate instead of high.

Low to non-existent demand for a group in a particular market means there was no perceived demand or that these markets are being served almost exclusively by others. For instance, men who desire to have sex with boys may find these opportunities among those not being trafficked or they may travel abroad to indulge their desires in less restrictive environments, therefore we rated this demand as low or a 1 on our scale of 1 to 3 (3 meaning high demand and 1 meaning low demand). While the demand for foreign born boys in sexual markets in Ohio may be low, the demand for foreign born men in sexual markets in Ohio may be non-existent, thus we rated it a 0. These ratings were then added together and turned into percentages to determine those who were estimated to be trafficked.

Table 3: Demand for Sex and Labor Services in Ohio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Demand Sex</th>
<th>Demand Labor</th>
<th>Percentage Trafficked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*UHF = Undocumented Hispanic Female; UHM = Undocumented Hispanic Male; UAF = Undocumented Asian Female; UAM = Undocumented Asian Male; UBF = Undocumented Black Female; UBM = Undocumented Black Male; UWF = Undocumented White Female; UWM = Undocumented White Male
The following are two tables of those estimations of at-risk and trafficked females and males based on our model.

### Table 4: Undocumented Females who are At-Risk & Trafficked into the Sex or Labor Market in Ohio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th># at-risk</th>
<th>Percent Trafficked</th>
<th>Number of Those Trafficked</th>
<th>Trafficked for Sex &amp; Labor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>80 Sex and/or 34 Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>299 Sex and/or 128 Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37 Sex and/or 16 Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>101 Sex and/or 43 Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,588</td>
<td></td>
<td>660</td>
<td>517 Sex and/or 218 Labor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Males are almost exclusively trafficked for labor purposes. As it stands, about 96% of undocumented males in the U.S. are in the labor force (Passel et al., 2004). The table below is our estimate of those males trafficked in Ohio.

### Table 5: Undocumented Males who are At-Risk and Trafficked in Ohio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number at-risk</th>
<th>Percent Trafficked</th>
<th>Number of Those Trafficked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>434</td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sum of legal foreign born populations in Ohio was multiplied by a factor of .0025%. One quarter of one percent was chosen to mirror Estes and Weiner (2001) who reported that .25% of the general population (in their case youth) were at-risk for human trafficking. In 2007, there were 83,672 legal foreign born females in Ohio and 82,346 legal foreign born males. In using Estes and Weiner’s estimate, 209 females and 206 males are at-risk for human trafficking. In weighing the particular risk factors involved in being a foreign born resident in an unfamiliar country against the protective factors
involved that include some formal supports in being legal, we speculate that less than 10% may have had a trafficking experience.

Table 6: Legal and Illegal Foreign Born Persons Trafficked in Ohio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign Born in Ohio</th>
<th>At-Risk for Trafficking</th>
<th>Trafficked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illegal Foreign Born</td>
<td>3,022</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Foreign Born</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,437</td>
<td>783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimation of those At-Risk and the Prevalence of Domestic Victims in Ohio

Ohio Youth

The team relied heavily on the Estes and Weiner (2001) study on the commercial sexual exploitation of children in the United States. In this study, Estes and Weiner embarked on a three year project that began in January, 1999 to better understand the nature, extent, and underlying causes of commercial sexual exploitation of children. They partnered with governmental and nongovernmental groups, three universities, two international child advocacy organizations, and a professional association that works directly with trafficked youth and their families. They worked with 800 law enforcement and human service professionals across 17 American cities.

Over 200 child victims participated as informants and participants in the study. Estes and Weiner obtained data through interview and survey of more than 1000 key informants including those runaway and throwaway street youth (n=124), sexually exploited youth in the care of social services and law enforcement agencies (n=86), representatives from federal law enforcement (n=164), representatives from state, county, and local law enforcement (n=146), public human service agency representatives (n=93), representatives from local private human service agencies (n=196), representatives from international nongovernmental organizations (n=51), and representatives from the Tri-National Research Team and Advisory Board (n=60). Supplementary data was obtained through questionnaires completed by state and national organizations working with sexually exploited youth and their families (n=288).

Estes and Weiner then analyzed the data to find the number of those at risk for child sex trafficking, to identify forms of commercial sexual exploitation, the factors that contributed to commercial sexual exploitation of children, risks to these youth, and the impact of sexual exploitation, among other findings. They categorized at-risk youth as runaways, throw aways, and homeless youth. They also included female gang

*Throughout this report, we use youth, adolescent, and child to mean those between the ages of 12 and 17. We also use the terms commercial sexual exploitation, child sex trafficking, or domestic minor sex trafficking interchangeably to mean youth who traded sex for money, items, drugs, food, or a place to stay.
members, transgendered youth, the general population of youth, and foreign born youth in the U.S. They then estimated that 35% of these youth were at-risk of commercial sexual exploitation. These estimates were then reduced by 25% to account for the overlap between groups.

Our measures included a focus on runaways and homeless youth in Ohio and the general population of high risk and vulnerable youth in Ohio.

According to the Ohio’s Missing Children Clearing House (OMCCH), in 2009, there were 20,205 endangered runaways (OMCCH personal communication). In 2008, there were 11,498 endangered runaways. In 2007, the number was 11,573. In 2006 over 25,000 youth ran away from home. In 2005 there were 10,801 runaway youth. We modified Estes and Weiner’s (2005) estimate that 35% of runaways gone for a week or longer were at-risk for commercial sexual exploitation, by using the findings based on the qualitative Toledo study of trafficked youth who report they were gone for two weeks or longer before being approached by a trafficker or recruiter (Williamson, 2008). Thus our first estimate is depicted below in Table 7.

Table 7: Ohio Runaways At-Risk for Child Sex Trafficking Per Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Runaways</th>
<th>Youth Gone for Two Weeks or Longer</th>
<th>Percentage At Risk</th>
<th>Total Runaway Youth At-risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>20,205</td>
<td>3,056</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>11,498</td>
<td>2,749</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>11,573</td>
<td>3,609</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>25,163</td>
<td>4,244</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>10,801</td>
<td>3,340</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1,169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Runaways and throwaways are categorized by the Clearing House as “Endangered Runaways”. In order to be categorized as an endangered runaway, a child must have left home without permission and stay away overnight. In a report by the New York Times, “nearly a third of the children who flee or are kicked out of their homes each year engage in sex for food, drugs or a place to stay, according to a variety of studies published in academic and public health journals” (Urbina, 2009). A more conservative report by Greene et al. (1997) places that estimate around 9.5% of a nationally representative sample of runaway and homeless youth who had access to some shelter. Greene also reported that 27.5% who were not sheltered traded sex. Since sheltered runaway youth may have periods of time in Ohio when they lose their shelter or may not be able to find a bed, this experience can fluctuate. A 2001 study completed on youth homelessness within Midwestern states in the U.S. (Nebraska, Missouri, Iowa, and Kansas) reported that of the 455 adolescent respondents found and surveyed, 20% sold sexual favors for food or a place to stay (Whitbeck, 2002), almost the average of the combination of Greene’s study on sheltered and street youth. Since we could not determine the number of runaway youth who were sheltered or living without shelter, we used the Midwestern study of 20% as our estimate. In using this estimate, we find the following:
Table 8: Runaway Youth in Ohio who are At-Risk & Traded Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number Runaways in Ohio</th>
<th>Number Gone for 2wks or Longer</th>
<th>Those who Traded Sex 20%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>20,205</td>
<td>3,056</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>11,498</td>
<td>2,749</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>11,573</td>
<td>3,609</td>
<td>722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>25,163</td>
<td>4,244</td>
<td>849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>10,801</td>
<td>3,340</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The team questioned the difference between those youth who are homeless and those who were runaways or throwaways. While a high risk runaway episode was determined by our team to be two weeks or more, for the period of time the youth is gone, they are technically homeless or may be captured in surveys and categorized as homeless. The term “unaccompanied youth” in research reports often applies to those youth in homeless situations who are not in the physical custody of a parent or guardian. Although there may be a significant difference in the dynamics of someone who is a runaway, throwaway, or categorized in a survey as homeless, the outcome is sheltered or unsheltered homelessness. One difference is that in a runaway situation someone has likely made a report and that report has been captured and counted in the Clearing House, whereas under various circumstances when one is homeless a report may or may have been made by a parent or guardian.

The National Center for Family Homelessness (2009) estimates that 3,046 Ohio youth and their families each year are homeless. Another 1,157 youth who were not with their family or guardian were homeless. Estes and Weiner estimated that 30% of homeless, but sheltered youth, and 70% of homeless street youth traded sex. Since the team could not determine through reports the number who were street youth and those who were sheltered, we adopted the conservative estimate of 30% to determine the number of at-risk homeless youth. In using this estimate, it is possible that approximately 914 accompanied homeless youth and 347 unaccompanied youth sold or traded sex for money, food, items, or a place to stay.

Table 9: Homeless Ohio Youth At-Risk for Trafficking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Homeless Youth</th>
<th>Number of Youth</th>
<th>Number of Youth at-risk for Sex Trafficking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accompanied Youth</td>
<td>3,046</td>
<td>914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaccompanied Youth</td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not only do youth engage in “survival sex” while homeless or a runaway, but the social networks that youth are involved in may also influence whether they will trade sex or not. Interestingly, “those who had friends who traded sex were approximately five times
more likely to have ever traded sex themselves compared to those with no friends who had traded sex” (Tyler, 2009, p. 290).

Thus far, the estimates for at-risk Ohio youth are not complete because they do not include those youth living in their homes or the homes of others and who are at-risk of human trafficking because of other high risk factors that make them vulnerable, including being involved with child protective services. Some of who are most certainly already involved with child protective services. In Toledo, 77% of the trafficked youth had been involved with child welfare at some point in their lives and 52% had been involved in the foster care program. For the most part, these youth fluctuated between home, the juvenile justice system, and the child welfare system (Williamson, 2009a). A literature review completed by Estes and Weiner (2005) identified at-risk youth who were vulnerable to human trafficking as those who had experienced family dysfunction (Cauce et al., 2003; Ferrara, 2001), have had prior histories of abuse (Tyler et al., 2000, 2001a,b), have had a history of serious depression (Cauce et al, 2000), runaway (Whitbeck, 2000; Lukman, 2009) experienced substance abuse or recurrent mental illness in the family (Cauce et al, 2000), represented a sexual minority (Raleigh-Duroff, 2004), experienced income poverty (Cauce et al., 2003; Yen, 2008), lacked educational opportunities or were desperate for employment (Yen, 2008), lived in families where the promotion of prostitution by parents or other family members occurred (USDOJ, 2003), were involved in criminal or other deviant behavior including female gang membership (Moore & Hagedorn, 2001; Whitbeck, 2001), lived in communities where the presence of pre-existing prostitution markets existed and neighborhoods where large numbers of street youth gather (Estes & Weiner, 2005), lived in areas where the presence of large numbers of sexually unattached and transient males including military personnel, truckers, conventioneers, and tourists existed (Estes & Weiner, 2005), and/or lived in communities where there was evidence of organized crime networks (United Nations, 1999).

Because of these and other factors, Estes and Weiner (2001) suggested that one quarter of one percent of all American youth were at-risk for commercial sexual exploitation. Using this assumption there are 675,922 youth, between the ages of 12 and 17, residing in Ohio in 2008. Adopting their formula, there would be an additional 1,690 youth who are also at-risk for child sex trafficking.

\[ 675,922 \times 0.0025 = 1,690 \]

To respond to the duplication that is apparent when attempting to estimate the prevalence from various groups in which there may be overlap, we relied on Estes and Weiner’s (2001) model of those at-risk for child trafficking in the United States. When reporting on those populations that may overlap, we reduced our finding by 25% in an attempt to avoid the majority of the duplication possible. As such, our findings are illustrated in Table 10.

**Table 10: Sum of Those At-Risk Youth in Ohio**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Youth Populations</th>
<th>Duplicated Number of At-risk Youth by Population</th>
<th>Estimate of the Unduplicated # of At-Risk Youth in Ohio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At Risk Youth who are Runaways</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk Youth who are Homeless</td>
<td>1,261</td>
<td>945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk Youth who are Otherwise Vulnerable</td>
<td>1,690</td>
<td>1,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,021</td>
<td>3,016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In determining the number of youth, ages 12 to 17, who may be involved in the commercial sex trade, we relied on two measures: the rescue of children in Toledo through the Innocence Lost Task force and a qualitative study completed on trafficked youth in Toledo.

Toledo received a task force in 2006 known as the Northwest Ohio Innocence Lost Task Force. The task force was funded through the FBI in conjunction with the Department of Justice Child Exploitation and Obscenity Section and the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children and is made up of Toledo Police, the Sheriff’s Department, and the FBI to investigate domestic minor sex trafficking, to rescue victims, and to prosecute traffickers. Since that time, the task force has identified 60 minor victims of sex trafficking, of which 75% or 45, were from Lucas County. This represents approximately 15 victims per year from Lucas County.

According to the latest census figures (2008), Lucas County had an estimated 49,929 residents between the ages of 12 and 17. Of those, approximately half were girls. Thus we estimate that 15 girls per 24,965 female residents between the ages of 12 and 17 are successfully recruited into the sex trade from Ohio each year. As of July 1, 2008 Ohio had a population of 675,922 residents between the ages of 12 and 17 or 337,961 girls.

In a University of Toledo study on trafficked youth in Lucas County, youth reported knowing an average of 5 additional youth that were not known to law enforcement, but who were engaging in the sex trade. Because of the underground social networks, many girls involved know each other by their street names or nick names. In actuality, they may be unaware of which girls are engaging the Innocence Lost Task Force and not engaging the Task Force. But because other communities in Ohio do not have a focused Innocence Lost Task Force, the team decided the estimates provided by the victims may be an accurate measure for other communities throughout Ohio. Therefore, in using this as a measure for Ohio, we find that there may be over 1,000 girls per year in Ohio that are involved in the sex trade.

\[
\frac{337,961}{24,965} \times 15 = 203
\]
Known trafficked girls from Toledo who were rescued by the Innocence Lost Task Force had been involved as runaways, some had been homeless for a time, and a majority of others had been involved with the juvenile court and child protection, so they are representative of our at-risk estimates.

The market to purchase sex with boys is active. “At least 95% of all commercial sex engaged in by boys is provided to adult males. Approximately half of the adult male sexual exploiters of boys are married men, many with children of their own” (Estes & Weiner, 2001 p.59). According to research, boys between the ages of 12 and 17, who are at high risk for child sex trafficking are those who are gay, transgendered, or questioning. It is estimated that between 3% to 5% of U.S. citizens identify as lesbian, gay, or bi-sexual or approximately 10,000+ youth in Ohio (Ray, 2006). While it is difficult to determine the number of gay, transgendered and questioning youth embedded among those at high risk because they are runaways/throwaways, homeless, or involved with a child welfare or juvenile justice institution, we know that these youth are at-risk and become victims of child sex trafficking. For those from the LBGT youth community who are runaways or homeless, prostitution is the principal means for survival (Raleigh-Duroff, 2004). Therefore, the team determined that 3% to 5% of the number of boys gone for two weeks or more are gay, bi-sexual, or transgendered and represent a minimum of those trafficked boys in Ohio.

Boys gone for 2wks = 1,551 X .03 = 47 or 1,551 X .05 = 78
Average 47 + 78 = 125/2 = 63

In adopting these estimates as a measure of those who have been trafficked, we find that approximately 1 in 3 vulnerable girls between the ages of 12 and 17 who are at high risk due to extended runaway episodes, periodic homelessness, and/or involvement with child protection or the juvenile court will become involved as a victim of child sex trafficking in Ohio. Those boys at high risk under the same circumstances and who identify as gay, bi-sexual, or transgendered are at higher risk for trading sex than girls, but are fewer in number in Ohio.

Table 11: Total Domestic Youth in Ohio Estimated to be At-Risk & Trafficked

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ohio Youth Ages 12 to 17</th>
<th>Estimate of the Total At-Risk Ohio Youth Population</th>
<th>Estimated to be Trafficked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>675,922 Total</td>
<td>3,016</td>
<td>1,078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ohio Women

The committee was not able to adequately identify the number of adult women in Ohio that may be victims of sex trafficking. Adult women who are victims of sex trafficking are often arrested for prostitution, solicitation, and/or loitering. It is difficult to discern from
this data and others, who is being controlled by traffickers and who is not. This is partly because there is no public consciousness about adult women who may be victims of human trafficking. It is akin to a public blindness about this form of modern day slavery as it relates to adult women who are citizens of the U.S. However, whether under the immediate and direct control of a trafficker as an adult or not, the residual effects of being commercially sexually exploited while under the age of 18 impacts young adulthood and creates a continued vulnerability, often accompanied by substance abuse to medicate earlier traumas, and the continued need to meet basic survival needs. These women are then prostituted by drugs, in which the trafficker is replaced by the drug dealer as the primary exploiter. Factors such as poverty, employment barriers, a lack of formal and healthy informal support systems, and internal shame and external stigma hold women in these underground markets. Women involved as victims of adult sex trafficking also suffer from poor mental, emotional, and physical health outcomes, are subjected to increased HIV/AIDS and other STI’s, and experience frequent, heinous, and pervasive violence (Williamson, 2001). To date, both a public consciousness and the estimates needed remain elusive, but are critical to the health of women in Ohio.
Section VI
Points for Further Deliberation and Recommendations
Points for Further Deliberation

In analyzing and interpreting the reasons child sex trafficking may be a factor in Ohio, the research team offered to the sub-committee members the following four statements. The Research and Analysis Sub-Committee asks that other sub-committees review and consider these points as part of their deliberations.

1. Ohio’s response to child sex trafficking is weak.

There are three conventional institutions that will intersect with trafficked youth who are involved in the underground economy practices of sex trafficking. Those are the criminal justice system, the social service system, and the health care system. Each system’s response, it could be argued, has been either ineffective or insufficient.

Human trafficking is a worldwide business, which consists of supply (victims), demand (customers), and distribution (traffickers). While the primary responsibility of the criminal justice system in Ohio is to sanction those who violate the law, when it comes to victims of sex trafficking, the criminal justice system has focused largely on supply and regularly treats victims as criminals. Ohio is quick to label youth involved in prostitution as delinquents and to incarcerate them, rarely looking further into those adults who were involved in their manipulation or force (Wilson & Dalton, 2007). Response to the demand (customers) and distribution (traffickers) components of human trafficking remain weak and inconsistent, with a large share of the arrests being female sellers (Prostitution Education Network), despite the fact that customers logically outnumber sellers (as one woman in prostitution has more than one customer).

The system that has been largely responsible for the care of child victims of sex trafficking has been the juvenile justice system. There are three main reasons why this system presents an inappropriate fit. First the obvious must be stated; that the juvenile justice system is not the appropriate place for traumatized victims of the crime of human trafficking. It is not appropriate to arrest and incarcerate any victim of a crime and therefore we should not be incarcerating these victims. Second, the juvenile justice system operates under the dual paradigm of social welfare and social control. Because these are largely vulnerable children with histories of abuse, neglect, and the added trauma of human trafficking, they should be placed in the hands of the child welfare system whose dual mission is to protect and provide for abused children. The dynamics of these children’s histories may dictate that juvenile court be involved, but the primary agency charged with their care and welfare should be the child welfare system. Third, because domestic minor victims of sex trafficking in Ohio and the U.S. are disproportionately girls of color and sexual minorities (Estes & Weiner 2001; Williamson, 2009), current practices of arrest, incarceration, and submission to the demand of the juvenile justice system could be viewed as institutionally racist, sexist, and discriminatory.
While the child welfare system seems like a better fit for trafficked youth, the Ohio Revised Code currently does not provide clear enough language that would be conducive to the comprehensive care of child sex trafficking victims. Ohio may consider reviewing the mandates of other states. For example, Michigan’s child abuse law is clearer and expressly includes the term *sexual exploitation*, currently absent in Ohio’s mandate.

Through our practice experience and literature review of the needs of trafficked youth, we find that other social service institutions that engage trafficked youth may be ineffective if they offer a generalized menu of services to a population with unique needs ranging from trauma treatment to comprehensive, long term residential or case management care.

The need for comprehensive, long term support for trafficked youth should include the operation of safe houses in Ohio, staffed with those properly trained to provide care and/or the use of therapeutically, trauma trained foster homes. In revisiting the idea that child trafficking is a business, the human trafficker is providing for those vulnerable Ohio youth 24 hours a day, 7 days a week with ways to make money, eat, live, and survive.

Because of overburdened social workers with large agency caseloads, the typical social service agency provides services to youth approximately 2 to 4 hours a week. While our best response has been to have victims work with a formal system for a couple of hours a week, the underground system’s response has been 24 hour a day support. While we circle the wagons to garner support, our competition has outpaced us with nuclear capabilities. Our alternative response to incarcerating victims in juvenile detention is not therapeutically constructive, and in fact could be emotionally damaging.

Because of their histories, many vulnerable and trafficked youth may have engaged social services and criminal justice systems in the past. These experiences may or may not have been positive ones. More than likely, these youth have opinions about law enforcement and child welfare. However, health care settings are the third conventional institution that may encounter trafficked youth. Health care settings represent neutral territory, meaning youth may not have biased opinions about this institution, which represents a window of opportunity to identify and help victims. Health care professionals may be among those that are also largely unaware of human trafficking and how to identify it. In turn, child trafficking victims are taught to lie about their circumstance and despite suspicions, professionals may not ask many questions. These victims are typically patched up and sent back into their underground and dangerous lives. Those educated emergency room and clinic staff most likely to be effective first responders, can and sometimes do report their suspicions by making a call to child protection or the police if they suspect that they have a trafficking victim. However, both systems deem these calls as a low priority. While police may take as much as two to three hours to respond, child welfare may take two to three days to respond. Of course the victim is often gone from the clinic or emergency room visit within one to two hours. In one police call featured on a Primetime special and the Entertainment Channel special about child sex trafficking in Toledo, it took Toledo
Police 90 minutes to respond to a child sex trafficking victim held by force in a Toledo home and after four repeated calls from neighbors who heard screaming and witnessed fighting.

The issue of runaway and throw away youth is another area where Ohio may be failing vulnerable and trafficked youth. When youth have “runaway” or been “thrown away”, they are usually labeled an “Endangered Runaway” in Ohio. Police are then involved in passive enforcement, meaning they will respond should they come across a suspicious youth during their daily work. Those few funded social service outreach programs will search for homeless and runaway youth, often in their own community, while trafficked youth are moved around the country. Other than the National Runaway Hotline where youth call in, there is no coordinated effort among homeless and runaway youth outreach programs to locate youth in other cities. If found, these youth have been taught by their traffickers to inform anyone in authority that they are 18 to fend off any attempt to call child protection. Moreover some of those, characterized by parents or authorities as runaways, may not be runaways at all. In these cases youth are “missing” because they have been taken by a trafficker. Other times what begins as a runaway experience may turn into a trafficking experience. Presently, Ohio’s Missing Children’s Clearing House is not required to report missing children to the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children.

Ohio’s trafficking in persons specification is a step in the right direction but we see a continued need to craft more comprehensive legislation that provides services for victims and training and education for law enforcement, among other professionals.

2. Ohio’s first responders to human trafficking remain unaware and unprepared and services are insufficient.

Researchers confirm that community members, social service providers, law enforcement personnel, medical personnel, and other first responders who may come into contact with victims of trafficking often fail to accurately identify them because most of these individuals are unaware of the issue and definition of trafficking, in particular domestic trafficking (Clawson & Goldblatt Grace, 2007). In a series of community wide Prostitution Roundtable meetings held in Toledo, Ohio in 2006, 66.7% of criminal justice, social service, and health care staff initially reported their knowledge of human trafficking to be between a 1 and 3 on a scale of 0 (not knowing much) to 5 (knowing a lot).

Similarly, findings from a 2009 survey conducted by the Rescue and Restore Coalition in Columbus in coordination with the Ohio Attorney General’s Office identified the need for law enforcement training in Ohio. Findings from the survey of 950 law enforcement agencies in the State of Ohio yielded 106 responses. Law enforcement agencies expressed a need for training, indicating they are both unaware of the problem in their communities and how to recognize signs of a victim of sex or labor trafficking or a human trafficking business or entity. Additionally, law enforcement said that they do not understand the effects of human trafficking, nor do they know how to link victims with
social service providers. Furthermore, the study revealed they did not understand criminal justice system procedures pertaining to human trafficking, and are unfamiliar with both Ohio and Federal laws.

In a study sponsored by the Underground Railroad in Cincinnati, 37% of a sample of 137 professionals from the areas of law enforcement, law, social services, health care and others knew with any certainty that protocols existed at their specific organizations for assisting trafficking victims. Eight percent were unsure and 45% reported that no protocols existed within their organizations. Of those with protocols, one-fourth indicated that their protocols were to provide generalized services. Sixty-three percent of those with protocols said the main protocol would be to refer the case to someone else (2009).

They further report:

Approximately 91% of interviewees have heard of cases and 41% believe that they or their organizations have encountered victims of trafficking. Most of the interviewees believe that their organizations have the capacity to serve victims but also believe that the main barrier in identifying or assisting victims is a lack of awareness and training (p. 7).

3. Customers who purchase youth remain protected, receiving minimal charges and are rarely being prosecuted in Ohio in any significant way, while traffickers suffer minimal consequences.

Nationwide, “in 2002, only 34% of prostitution arrests were of male consumers. The other 66% were of women and children” (Shared Hope International, 2006).

In Ohio over the past five years, there were 11,144 prostitution-related charges. The majority of charges were for soliciting, which totaled 8,898 applied to 4,384 persons. Of those, 2,969 were female and 1,414 were male. It is unclear how many males were arrested for attempting to prostitute themselves and the number who were attempting to purchase sex. In Chicago, researchers determined that women involved in prostitution there completed an average of 10 sex acts per week or 10 times the opportunity for male customers to be arrested for every one woman involved (Levitt & Venkatesh, 2007). Yet despite these facts, arrest rates do not reflect what should be an overwhelming number of male customers arrested.

Traffickers may typically be arrested for charges of compelling prostitution, promoting prostitution, procuring, or attempting to engage in these crimes or being complicit in the act of a related crime. In Ohio, from 2005 to 2009, 122 persons were charged with compelling prostitution (89 men and 33 women), 237 were charged with promoting prostitution (134 men and 103 women), 72 were charged with procuring (37 men and 35 women), 6 were charged with attempting to engage in one of these crimes (5 men and 1 woman), and 3 were charged for being complicit (1 man and 2 women).
4. High rates of vulnerability for Ohio youth

According to the National Center on Family Homelessness State Report Card (2009), Ohio is ranked 20th among the 50 states for child homelessness¹, but is ranked 42 out of 50 states for children’s vulnerability to homelessness, which in turn contributes to a higher vulnerability to child sex trafficking. Risk for child homelessness is measured by the generosity of state benefits, household structure, housing market forces, extreme poverty, and structural factors contributing to homelessness. Ohio is the only state in the Midwest that is included among those top 10 states at high risk.

Those domestic populations who are most vulnerable to human trafficking are the poor. In 2008, 1.5 million Ohioans lived below the poverty level. This is the highest rate since 1994. Since 2002, the population in Ohio grew a total of 1.2% while the number of those who are poor grew to over 40% (Ohio Association of Community Action Agencies, 2010). “Poverty limits access to resources and information, which limits other opportunities such as education or employment” (Davis, 2006, p.7). The Children’s Defense Fund (2008) reports that over 20,000 Ohioans are homeless each year.

“Oftentimes, people living in these substandard situations feel they have no choice but to risk their safety in order to survive. Some may resort to survival sex to gain access to food, shelter, protection and other necessities while others may resort to criminal activities (e.g., theft or selling drugs). Because of their precarious situation, traffickers can easily manipulate those desperate to escape poverty with promises of better jobs and lifestyles” (Davis, 2006, p.7).

Many girls recruited into prostitution often come from dysfunctional families, have been sexually abused, live in poverty, and are runaways, throwaways or homeless (Davis 2006). According to a study with youth involved as sex trafficking victims in Toledo, 91% were victims of abuse with the majority suffering from neglect, followed by physical abuse, and then sexual abuse. Fifty-seven percent had been raped by someone outside of their family; 29% were raped by someone inside their family, and 14% were raped by both. Many of them lived in poverty (Williamson, 2009). A few trafficked Toledo youth discussed their experience with reference to poverty, neglect, and abuse

“We were starving. We had no money, no lights, no gas. One box heater for the whole family. He didn’t want to waste drug money on Christmas presents or birthday presents. He took our toys away when I was 8. He sold them and brought drugs. I started prostituting at age 11”.

“Mom knew about the abuse, but didn’t want to say anything because she wanted to keep her husband” – 17 year old

Traffickers often prey on troubled minors because of the ease of isolating them from family and friends, manipulating and modeling their worldviews, and exploiting their dependency on an adult. The women and children are dehumanized and turned into marketable commodities where they often feel that is their place in life (Davis, 2006 p.8).
1. Rankings are based on the extent of child homelessness, child well being, risk for child homelessness, and state policy and planning efforts.

In 2007, one trafficked girl in Toledo who testified in a case outside of the State of Ohio, returned home to find little support, no safe haven, and no escape from the internal depression and external stigma she found. With a baby left to care for, she ended her life at 17 years old (Second Chance – personal communication).

In summary, we offer the following suggestions to the other Trafficking In Persons Study Commission Sub-Committees for their consideration:

1. Change the focus, stop arresting and incarcerating child victims of sex trafficking who are by definition victims of the crime of human trafficking. Create awareness campaigns around this issue and create provisions in the law that prohibit this practice of re-traumatizing child victims by arrest and incarceration and create alternative mechanisms to care for victims.

2. Work with legislators to expand the mandate of child welfare agencies throughout Ohio to include the care for commercially sexually exploited youth and to create safe houses for youth.

3. Conduct training that includes information on reporting child sex trafficking of domestic minors as child abuse and emphasize mandated reporters’ responsibility to report it.

4. Work with legislators to pass a state anti-human trafficking law that expands the specification into a law that includes provisions for protection, prevention, and prosecution and that attends to the overall issue including supply (victims), demand (customers), and distribution (traffickers).

5. Work to strengthen the oversight of those markets that are open to receiving foreign born workers and who have been traditionally exploitative (e.g., massage parlors, agricultural markets, etc.).

6. Train health departments/health inspectors around the state on human trafficking and to report suspicious behavior.

7. Work to make the target of prostitution enforcement customers thereby reducing the possibility of arresting sex trafficking victims.

8. Appoint an ongoing committee that can work to coordinate activities in Ohio of Immigration Customs Enforcement, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, state and local law enforcement, child welfare, and the juvenile justice system and others as appropriate.
Recommendations

In order to provide a more accurate picture of the extent of the human trafficking problem in Ohio, we ask the Trafficking In Persons Study Commission make a recommendation supporting the need for better data collection. In particular we recommend:

a. That the Coalition on Homeless and Housing in Ohio (COHHIO) be asked to incorporate the following questions in their surveys of homeless youth:
   a. “have you traded sex for a place to stay, money, or other items”
   b. “have you ever had a pimp/boyfriend or anyone that takes a part of the money you make”
   c. “do you currently have a pimp/boyfriend or anyone that takes a part of the money you make”.

b. That a standard method is used by law enforcement and service providers to capture information regarding human trafficking victims and a central location to store the data is determined. Appendix F provides a sample database form.

c. Ohio should work to have questions inserted into the Youth Behavior Surveillance Survey to better understand trafficking in Ohio and the nation.

d. Advocate for Ohio to be included in the national Human Trafficking Reporting System’s database so that it is possible to better understand the incidence of human trafficking in Ohio.
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Appendix B: Federal, State, and Local Resources

FEDERAL RESOURCES

- U.S. Department of State, Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons
  http://www.state.gov/g/tip

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Campaign to Rescue and Restore Victims of Human Trafficking
  http://www.acf.hhs.gov/trafficking/index.html

- U.S. Department of Justice
  http://www.usdoj.gov/whatwedo/whatwedo_ctip.html
  http://www.ovc.gov/help/tip.htm
  http://www.usdoj.gov/criminal/ceos/trafficking.html

- Federal Bureau of Investigation, Investigative Programs, Crimes Against Children
  http://www.fbi.gov/hq/cid/cac/crimesmain.htm

- National Center for Missing and Exploited Children
  http://www.ncmec.org

- Polaris Project
  http://www.polarisproject.org

- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

  http://www2.ohchr.org/english/law/crc-sale.htm

STATE RESOURCES

Ohio Human Trafficking Study Commission
Attorney General’s Office
30 E. Broad St. 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

LOCAL RESOURCES

Gracehaven
Columbus

Gracehaven is building resources to provide a comprehensive, residential treatment program for trafficked youth.
Central Ohio Rescue and Restore
Columbus

- Coordinated by The Salvation Army Central Ohio
- Comprised of over 55 member organizations from a wide cross section of the community:
  - Social services providers
  - Law enforcement (FBI, ICE, CPD)
  - Faith community
  - Medical providers
  - State Refugee Coordinator
  - Ohio Attorney General Office
  - Ohio Department of Public Safety
  - Ohio Department of Job and Family Services

- Services to Victims of Human Trafficking - 24/7 Hotline 888-881-5465
- Public Awareness
- Annual Unlocking the Chains Conference
- Speakers Bureau: Trained over 2,000 people on human trafficking to date
- Outreach materials
- Public awareness events
- Legislation
- Law Enforcement

Contact:
Michelle Hannan,
Director of Professional and Community Services
The Salvation Army
966 E. Main St
Columbus OH 43205
614-437-2149
mhannan@use.salvationarmy.org

Trisha Smouse
Anti-Human Trafficking Program Manager
The Salvation Army
966 E Main St
Columbus, OH 43205
614-358-2614
Trisha.smouse@use.salvationarmy.org
End Slavery Cincinnati
Cincinnati

- Coordinated by the YWCA
- Provides services to victims of human trafficking

**Contact:**
Jessica Donahue-Dioh
513-361-2146,
jdonohue-dioh@ywcacin.org

The Prostitution Intervention Collaborative Taskforce
Dayton, Ohio

Coordinated by the Montgomery County Criminal Justice Council

- Operates the John's School
- Has trained over 35 agencies on the issue of human trafficking.

**Contact:**
Joanne Hale
937-225-4920
joanneh@sunrisecenter.org

Lucas County Human Trafficking Coalition
Toledo, Ohio

- Shares information and resources about human trafficking
- Identifies practices, procedures and protocols for effective work with victims of trafficking in Toledo/Lucas County
- Coordinates efforts of multiple disciplines in working with victims of human trafficking and domestic minor sex trafficking.

**Contact:**
Celia Williamson, PhD
419-530-4084
Cwillia4@UTNet.UToldeo.edu

Second Chance
Toledo, Ohio

- The only prostitution and trafficking-specific program in Toledo, Ohio that follows the Social Work Code of Ethics and offers quality and comprehensive programming in partnership with professionals and survivors.
Design of the Second Chance program was based on over 500 hours of interviews conducted with survivors/victims from the Toledo community.

Offers comprehensive services to women victimized by prostitution, girls at risk of being victims of sex trafficking and girls who have been victimized by sex trafficking.

Develops individualized service plans for each woman or youth involved in programming. Plans are modeled on treatment and recovery practices that support women and youth in healing from trauma, learning and developing a strong sense of self, and challenging and engaging women and youth to develop healthy and authentic relationships.

Services:
- Intensive Case Management
- Advocacy
- Information and Referral Services
- Harm-Reduction, Education, and Prevention
- Trauma Treatment through Individual Counseling
- Psychosocial Groups, Educational Groups, and Prostitutes Anonymous (PA) for Adult Women
- Support groups for victims of Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children (CSEC)

Contact:
Mary Schmidbauer
419-244-6050
SecondChance@tamohio.org

Collaborative Initiative to End Human Trafficking
Cleveland, Ohio

Provides education and training on the topic of human trafficking.

Contact:
Karen Walsh
440-356-2254
Collaborative_initiative@yahoo.com

Human Trafficking Task Force
Salvation Army
Cleveland, Ohio

Contact:
Karen Walsh or Douglas McGuire
440-356-2254
Appendix C: Key Cases Identified Through Newspaper Reports

Reported Cases

International Sex Trafficking

2005

In 2005 two Korean women were found to be in charge of managing massage parlors that provided customers with sexual favors. Although it was claimed that the women working at the parlors were not held against their will, the women were not paid unless they provided sexual favors to the customers. Typically customers to Asian massage parlors are middle-class white professionals (The Columbus Dispatch, July 3, 2005; The Associated Press, July 15, 2005).

2006

Another woman met an American man through a pen pal service and married him in her country. She accompanied him to the United States thinking that he was looking for a wife to start a family. Instead he took her to a hotel, drugged her and raped and beat her (Cincinnati Enquirer, October 21, 2006).

2007

Although massage parlors are areas where international victims are sex trafficked, trafficking of international victims is not limited to these areas. In 2007, police made a traffic stop near Cleveland. During the stop they discovered a 23-year-old woman from Mexico who was a victim of sex trafficking. She crossed the border after meeting people who agreed to reunite her with her family. However, instead of being taken to her family, she was forced into prostitution. She was pregnant when found and had to relate her experiences through a translator (Plain Dealer, March 30, 2007).

2008

A massage parlor was raided in Geauga County in 2008 and officials were attempting to determine if human trafficking was a factor. One of the four women arrested was in charge of daily operations while the other three women lived in house and never left the building. The three women utilized an interpreter and discussed previous work in a restaurant in New York (Plain Dealer, August 31, 2008).

International Labor Trafficking

2007

The Russian mob was convicted in 2007 for contracting with a hotel chain for maid services that involved 40 victims of labor trafficking (Central Ohio Rescue and Restore).
2008

In 2008 two Mexican women were offered a franchise in a Hispanic restaurant in Columbus. When they arrived they were informed that they would need to work off their debt and pay for the franchise by working in the restaurant. The trafficker provided housing that was also deducted from the pay (Central Ohio Rescue and Restore).

2009

A domestic sexual servitude situation occurred in May 2009 where a Hispanic woman was married to her trafficker and was forced to care for his disabled daughter as well (Central Ohio Rescue and Restore).

Finally, in late 2009 in Columbus, several women were promised a teaching job but were told that they would need to work in a bakery while the school was being built. The school was never built and the women were held against their will while working in the bakery for $30 a month (Central Ohio Rescue and Restore).

Innocence Lost Information

2006

Operation Cross County I resulted in 389 arrests across 16 cities rescuing 29 children. In Toledo there were 54 total arrests and recoveries. Of those, 1 child, 3 traffickers, 6 customers, and 44 other adults involved came from Toledo (Innocence Lost Task Force).

2008

Operation Cross Country II resulted in 765 arrests across 26 cities rescuing of 47 children. In Toledo there were a total of 52 arrests and recoveries, 2 children were rescued, 12 traffickers 7 customers, and 31 adults involved (Innocence Lost Task Force).

2009

Operation Cross Country III involved 92 local, state, and federal agencies, 630 law enforcement personnel, across 29 cities resulting in 619 arrests. Toledo had a total of 19 arrests (18 adults involved in the network and 1 trafficker) and no child recoveries (Innocence Lost Task Force).

During Operation Cross Country IV, which occurred in October of 2009, 52 children across 36 cities involving 30 FBI divisions were rescued and 700 others, including 60 pimps were charged. In Northwest Ohio’s Fulton County 7 child victims were rescued, 5 of these child victims were being trafficked in Northwest Ohio’s Fulton County. Four traffickers were arrested, and 17 other adults were arrested for their involvement.
youngest from Ohio was 10 years old. There were three U.S. traffickers involved, one male 30 years old and two females who were 32 and 33 years old. In addition, seven customers from Northwest Ohio were involved including Mexican men, ages 20 to 61, who worked at migrant camps. During this investigation it was noted that one mother was involved in pimping out a 15 year old and her 13 year old daughter (Toledo Blade, November 1, 2009).

Domestic Sex Trafficking

2002

In 2002 David Sherman, an adult entertainment industry manager for the Déjà Vu’s in Ohio and who had been in the business for 14 years testified at the Ohio Statehouse about rampant drug abuse in strip clubs, tax evasion, prostitution, under aged dancers, and payoffs or “preferred treatment” of city employees such as zoning inspectors, police, fire, and building inspectors. He testified to the existence of after hour parties, drug dealing in the clubs and money laundering. Club managers, such as him, lobbied legislators in order to keep these businesses running the way they were and to prevent certain proposed legislation from passing. He reported that they paid off hundreds of political figures and authorities in Ohio. Most importantly Mr. Sherman detailed how girls and women in Ohio were recruited and commercially sexually exploited (Ohio Senate Judiciary on Civil Justice, 2002 on S.B. 251).

2006

In 2006, in the Toledo Blade reported on a girl from Adrian trafficked by a former Toledoan. The 13 year old left for Toledo with her 19 year old boyfriend on November 9, 2001 to celebrate her birthday by going to the theatre. The couple ended up spending a few days visiting various homes meeting the boyfriend’s relatives. That’s where she met Clarence Brown, who beat her, raped her, and forced her into prostitution. After the attempted rape with a knife by a trucker who didn’t have any money, the woman that trained and prepared the girl for prostitution was beaten. For the next six days the Ohio girl was forced to trade sex for money. She was finally rescued by a female trucker when she got in her cab and asked her to drive away.

Another 13 year old was offered candy and money for engaging in sexual activity with five men in Licking County. The charges ranged from unlawful sexual conduct with a minor to compelling prostitution (Columbus Dispatch, April 19, 2006).

Two sixteen year old girls were flown from Ohio to Florida and forced into prostitution. One of the girls was one of seven children raised by a single mother and was taking medication for a mood disorder. It was reported that she likely looked to her trafficker for support and perhaps as a father figure (Plain Dealer, November 22, 2006).

2007
In another case a girl was thirteen when she began prostituting for a Wood county man. Her aunt would accompany her and clean his residence while the girl provided sexual favors to the man (Toledo Blade, May 15, 2007).

While trafficking can occur locally victims are often taken across state lines. In 2007 a sixteen-year-old from Toledo was trafficked out of state to areas including Washington, D.C. and Dearborn, Michigan to prostitute at fraternity conventions. Adult women were also prostituted in this trafficking network (Toledo Blade, July 3, 2007).

A Garfield Heights woman used a thirteen-year-old in a scheme to rob individuals in a teen prostitution ring. An undercover officer visited the apartment and the thirteen-year-old solicited sex from him. When questioned she described a scheme where she would take customers into a back bedroom for sexual activity while the adults would rob them. The two adults involved claimed that they were babysitters and did not know that she was prostituting (Plain Dealer, July 10, 2007).

2008

A 43 year old College Hill man was convicted in 2006 of sexual conduct with a fifteen year old minor and of prostituting her to other men. He told the men that the girl was 19 and collected money in August and September 2006 for her to have sex with the men (The Cincinnati Enquirer, July 26, 2008).

In another case a South Linden man was charged with transporting seven Columbus women across state lines to engage in prostitution. Although the women were between the ages of 18 and 35 the trafficker claimed he could supply girls as young as six for sexual activity. The case was not considered human trafficking, even though it had some elements (The Columbus Dispatch, September 6, 2008).

Family members also traffic youth. One 2008 case from Montgomery County involved a mother trying to sell her seventeen-year-old daughter in a hotel room. The mother and a 41 year old man worked together to prostitute the girl. The man was sentenced to a year in prison and the mother received the maximum penalty of 18 months (Dayton Daily News, October 21, 2008).

Two teen sisters who were runaways from Texas were befriended by a female in the hotel they were staying in Miamisburg in 2008. Their vulnerability was preyed upon and they were recruited into performing sexual acts, giving massages and nude photography (Dayton Daily News, October 30, 2008).

2009

Trafficking can also involve individuals in positions of power. A former leader of an Ohio state office was found to be promoting prostitution of a 17-year-old girl. He used on-line resources to post pictures of her and traded information on other women (Columbus Dispatch, January 15, 2009).
Another case in 2009 with roots in Chillicothe Ohio involved four suspected traffickers charged with prostituting one 18-year-old woman and 16-year-old girl in Millerville, Maryland. The 18-year-old was beaten and her car was disabled. Both were forced to have sex with customers (Columbus Dispatch, Oct 2, 2009).
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Appendix E: Sample Database Form

Date of Referral: ______________  How was victim identified? Self-disclosed  Location found
Referral Source: ______________  Affiliated with known pimp/prostitute  Reported interstate trafficking
Other ______________

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Victim
next section
Initials: ______________  Alias/Street Name: ______________
DOB: ______________  Sex: M  F  Transgender
Age: ______________  Race: White  Hisp/Latino  Am Indian/Alaska Nat  Asian  Am Indian/Alaska Nat Hawaiian/Pac Isl  Other/Mixed  African Am/Black
Home City: ______________

Perpetrator
Juvenile? if checked, skip to next section

DOB: ______________  Sex: M  F  Transgender
Age: ______________  Race: White  Hisp/Latino  Am Indian/Alaska Nat  Asian  Am Indian/Alaska Nat Hawaiian/Pac Isl  Other/Mixed  African Am/Black
Home City: ______________

Status (prior to referral): Runaway  CHINS  Homeless  Other ______________

Trauma history: None  Physical Abuse  Sexual Abuse  Psych/Emotional Abuse
(check all that apply)

Criminal History: Status Offense  Drug Offense  Violent Offense  Other ______________

Time on Street: ______________  Last grade completed: ______________  School District: ______________

Last medical appointment: ______________  Does Victim have children? Yes  No  UNK

Is Victim using drugs? Yes  No  UNK

Is Victim affiliated with a gang? Yes  No  UNK

DETAILS OF EXPLOITATION

Location of recruitment: (city or area) ______________
Method of Recruitment: Internet  Phone chat line  Approached in public place
Approached at Residential facility, specify ______________

Recruited by whom? Female friend  Male friend/boyfriend  Stranger
Family member  Gang  Other ______________

Location of exploitation: City/Area ______________  Type Street/car  Home/residence  Escort Service
Hotel/Motel  Other ______________

Has Victim been trafficked across state lines? Yes  No  UNK

Has Victim been arrested? Yes  No  UNK

Was exploitation gang related? Yes  No  UNK

⇒ If yes, what were the charges? ______________

Does victim currently have an attorney or legal advocate? Yes  No  UNK

CASE STATUS

Legal Custody of Victim: DSS  Parents  Other ______________

Current Placement Of Victim: DSS shelter  DYS facility  DSS Foster home  DYS Foster Home  Res/Group home
 Parents  Homeless  Informal arrangement, specify ______________

Estimated time at current placement: _____ (days)

Last date Victim attended school: __/___ (mm/yyyy)  Has Perp been arrested? Yes  No  UNK

SAIN Interview? Yes  No  UNK  Where is Perp living? ______________

51A filed (regarding exploitation)? Yes  No  UNK  ⇒ If No, why? ______________

Check all agencies/groups involved in case:

DSS  School  Counseling  Police
DYS  Probation  DMH  Medical
DA’s Office  Other Serv Provider  Other ______________

To your knowledge, is a police/criminal investigation underway? Yes  No  UNK